

**To:** Tate Bennett; **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**; Lyons, Troy[lyons.troy@epa.gov]  
**From:** Dravis, Samantha  
**Sent:** Tue 5/16/2017 7:48:24 PM  
**Subject:** FW: For OP review: draft testimony on HR 806, request quick turnaround  
[HEC Ozone Written Testimony toOP clean.docx](#)  
[HEC Ozone Written Testimony toOP redline.docx](#)

Guys: Please have your staff send these to me. It's fine if Brittany is included, but everything is going straight to her and I'm not copied on it and it is becoming an issue. Sending something at 2pm for review by COB is also kind of an unreasonable turnaround.

**From:** Davis, Matthew  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 16, 2017 2:00 PM  
**To:** Bolen, Brittany <bolen.brittany@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Ringel, Aaron <ringel.aaron@epa.gov>; Richardson, RobinH <Richardson.RobinH@epa.gov>; Lyons, Troy <lyons.troy@epa.gov>; Haman, Patricia <Haman.Patricia@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** For OP review: draft testimony on HR 806, request quick turnaround

Hi Brittany,

Hope you're having a good day! I have attached the current draft of the written testimony on HR 806, the Ozone Standards Implementation Act (redline strikethrough and clean .doc versions). Mandy provided suggestions and edits, and I've pulled together the necessary information to fulfill them as best as possible. We'd really like to get this to OMB by COB today, if possible, so we can get it to the Hill on Friday (their deadline to us). Please let us here in OCIR know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Matthew

Matthew H. Davis

Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations

U.S. EPA

1200 Pennsylvania Ave NW, MC 1301A

Washington, DC 20460

(202) 564-1267

**To:** Greenwalt, Sarah[greenwalt.sarah@epa.gov]  
**From:** Dravis, Samantha  
**Sent:** Wed 5/3/2017 4:58:40 PM  
**Subject:** FW: Regulatory reform

Did you attend the OW session?

**From:** Ferguson, Lincoln  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 03, 2017 12:58 PM  
**To:** Bolen, Brittany <bolen.brittany@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Regulatory reform

Please see proposed response and let me know your input or if its ok:

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

For additional background: When the previous administration help a public meeting for comments on the Clean Power Plan, they refused to hold those meetings in the states that would be most directly impacted by that rule – West Virginia for example.

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Schultz, David" <dSchultz@bna.com>  
**Date:** May 2, 2017 at 5:23:34 PM EDT

**To:** "Bowman, Liz" <[Bowman.Liz@epa.gov](mailto:Bowman.Liz@epa.gov)>, "Jones, Enesta" <[Jones.Enesta@epa.gov](mailto:Jones.Enesta@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Regulatory reform

Hi Liz & Enesta,

I'm working on a story about the EPA's regulatory reform initiative and its process for soliciting comments from the public on this initiative. I am hearing from several people criticizing this process and I wanted to reach out to you to give you an opportunity to respond.

Several of the people participating in the public listening sessions the agency has been holding have said the deadlines for submitting comments on this initiative are too soon. They also say these listening sessions have been scheduled at inconvenient times of day, such as during the day on a weekday, and also that they have been poorly managed. For example, today's listening session run by the Office of Water was plagued by numerous technical problems which made it difficult for the public to submit their comments and also hear others' comments.

Would the EPA like to respond to these criticisms? What is the agency doing to ensure that as many people as possible have the opportunity to participate in this process? What will the EPA do with the comments it receives? Will it issue public responses to these comments?

Also, can you provide me with a timeline for this regulatory reform initiative? I understand the public can submit comments through May 15 and then the EPA will issue a report on regulatory reform to OMB by May 25? Do I have those dates correct? What is set to happen after this?

Thanks for your help on this. Please let me know if you have any questions for

me. My deadline for this story is by **1pm ET on Thursday, May 4.**

David

**David Schultz**

## Environment Reporter

**Bloomberg BNA**

Direct 703.341.3696

Cell 202.510.4655

dschultz@bna.com

**To:** Rees, Sarah[rees.sarah@epa.gov]; Bolen, Brittany[bolen.brittany@epa.gov]; Curry, Bridgid[Curry.Bridgid@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Nickerson, William[Nickerson.William@epa.gov]  
**From:** Dravis, Samantha  
**Sent:** Tue 4/18/2017 4:06:13 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Reg reform updates

If you draft an email I can send it.. I would rather start with that than play phone tag right now.

**From:** Rees, Sarah  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 18, 2017 12:02 PM  
**To:** Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>; Bolen, Brittany <bolen.brittany@epa.gov>; Curry, Bridgid <Curry.Bridgid@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Nickerson, William <Nickerson.William@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Reg reform updates

Either a phone call or email from you or Brittany to the acting AA for the relevant program. But let us take one more run at it first.

**From:** Dravis, Samantha  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 18, 2017 11:53 AM  
**To:** Rees, Sarah <rees.sarah@epa.gov>; Bolen, Brittany <bolen.brittany@epa.gov>; Curry, Bridgid <Curry.Bridgid@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Nickerson, William <Nickerson.William@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Reg reform updates

What does such an intervention look like exactly

**From:** Rees, Sarah  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 18, 2017 11:52 AM  
**To:** Bolen, Brittany <bolen.brittany@epa.gov>; Curry, Bridgid <Curry.Bridgid@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>; Germann, Sandy <Germann.Sandy@epa.gov>; Nickerson, William <Nickerson.William@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Reg reform updates

I think we will need an intervention by you/Samantha. I hate to put more on your overloaded plates, and we will reach out again to try to get these issues addressed, but we are getting push back.

We are slated to connect with you on reg reform status later this afternoon; if the programs still aren't receptive to the changes we will know at that time and suggest that you reach out to the appropriate acting AA's.

**From:** Bolen, Brittany  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 18, 2017 11:44 AM  
**To:** Curry, Bridgid <[Curry.Bridgid@epa.gov](mailto:Curry.Bridgid@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Dravis, Samantha <[dravis.samantha@epa.gov](mailto:dravis.samantha@epa.gov)>; Rees, Sarah <[rees.sarah@epa.gov](mailto:rees.sarah@epa.gov)>; Germann, Sandy <[Germann.Sandy@epa.gov](mailto:Germann.Sandy@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Reg reform updates  
**Importance:** High

Thanks for your patience. Attached are my edits. Above all, it is critical we change the date of this meeting as it conflicts with OAR and OITAs meetings. Please let me know if it would be helpful for Samantha or I to contact OSCPP. This timeframe between notice and meeting is also wholly inadequate. We need to ensure folks have at least an week's notice.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Brittany

**From:** Curry, Bridgid  
**Sent:** Monday, April 17, 2017 12:13 PM  
**To:** Bolen, Brittany <[bolen.brittany@epa.gov](mailto:bolen.brittany@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Dravis, Samantha <[dravis.samantha@epa.gov](mailto:dravis.samantha@epa.gov)>; Rees, Sarah <[rees.sarah@epa.gov](mailto:rees.sarah@epa.gov)>;

Germann, Sandy <[Germann.Sandy@epa.gov](mailto:Germann.Sandy@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Reg reform updates

Hi Brittany,

I have updates on several of the regulatory reform activities.

## **Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

Thanks,

Bridgid





**To:** Bolen, Brittany[bolen.brittany@epa.gov]  
**From:** Dravis, Samantha  
**Sent:** Fri 5/26/2017 5:23:08 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Invitation to Advocacy's Regulatory Reform Roundtables in Louisiana

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

On May 26, 2017, at 12:21 PM, Bolen, Brittany <bolen.brittany@epa.gov> wrote:

I may be interested in attending this.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Hope, Brian" <Hope.Brian@epa.gov>  
**Date:** May 26, 2017 at 11:38:22 AM EDT  
**To:** "Dravis, Samantha" <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>, "Bolen, Brittany" <bolen.brittany@epa.gov>, "Dickerson, Aaron" <dickerson.aaron@epa.gov>, "Hupp, Sydney" <hupp.sydney@epa.gov>, "Chmielewski, Kevin" <chmielewski.kevin@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Invitation to Advocacy's Regulatory Reform Roundtables in Louisiana

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Williams, Emily M." <emily.williams@sba.gov>  
**Date:** May 26, 2017 at 10:35:23 AM EDT  
**To:** "Williams, Emily M." <emily.williams@sba.gov>  
**Subject:** Invitation to Advocacy's Regulatory Reform Roundtables in Louisiana

Please see attached invitation from Acting Chief Counsel Major L. Clark, III to

send your RRO or a designee familiar with regulatory reform from your headquarters or a local office. The first two roundtables are scheduled for June 7 in Baton Rouge and June 8 in New Orleans.

Please let me know if you have any questions about the events.

Thanks,

Emily

**Emily Mantz Williams**

Outreach and Events Specialist // Acting Congressional Affairs and Public Relations Manager

SBA // Office of Advocacy

409 3rd St. SW, Washington, D.C. 20416

<image001.png>

[emily.williams@sba.gov](mailto:emily.williams@sba.gov)

<image002.png>

202.205.6949

<image003.png>

<image004.png>

<image005.png>

<image006.png>

<image007.png>

<image008.png>

<image009.png>

<image010.png>

<Invitation for Reg Roundtables - LA.pdf>

**To:** Kime, Robin[Kime.Robin@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Bolen, Brittany[bolen.brittany@epa.gov]  
**From:** Dravis, Samantha  
**Sent:** Fri 5/26/2017 1:44:22 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Transmittal of the Revised Reg Reform Progress Report

Great - standing by.

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

On May 26, 2017, at 9:40 AM, Kime, Robin <Kime.Robin@epa.gov> wrote:

The files I am about to send you are ready to be submitted to the Administrator via email and printing etc.

**From:** Dravis, Samantha  
**Sent:** Friday, May 26, 2017 9:36 AM  
**To:** Kime, Robin <Kime.Robin@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Bolen, Brittany <bolen.brittany@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: Transmittal of the Revised Reg Reform Progress Report

That sounds good Robin. Thanks. Can you update me on where we're at with it?

Sent from my iPad

On May 26, 2017, at 8:38 AM, Kime, Robin <Kime.Robin@epa.gov> wrote:

I'll get a clean version of the report and have the transmittal memo formatted properly and with an /s/ after your name, indicating signature approval. I'll email both files to you and have a hard copy delivered to the Administrator and whoever else you'd like. Sound OK?

**From:** Owens, Nicole  
**Sent:** Friday, May 26, 2017 8:32 AM  
**To:** Bolen, Brittany <bolen.brittany@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Rees, Sarah <rees.sarah@epa.gov>; Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>; Kime, Robin <Kime.Robin@epa.gov>; Nickerson, William <Nickerson.William@epa.gov>; Schillo, Bruce <Schillo.Bruce@epa.gov>; Curry, Bridgid <Curry.Bridgid@epa.gov>

**Subject:** RE: Revised Reg Reform Progress Report

Revised as noted below. We'll work with Robin to finalized this today.

Nicole

**From:** Bolen, Brittany  
**Sent:** Friday, May 26, 2017 7:47 AM  
**To:** Owens, Nicole <Owens.Nicole@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Rees, Sarah <rees.sarah@epa.gov>; Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>; Kime, Robin <Kime.Robin@epa.gov>; Nickerson, William <Nickerson.William@epa.gov>; Schillo, Bruce <Schillo.Bruce@epa.gov>; Curry, Bridgid <Curry.Bridgid@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: Revised Reg Reform Progress Report

Nicole,

This looks great, thank you.

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

Otherwise, I think this is ready

for final transmittal.

Thank you again,

Brittany

On May 26, 2017, at 7:43 AM, Owens, Nicole <Owens.Nicole@epa.gov> wrote:

Good morning.

Attached are revised versions of the progress report and cover letter. Please let us know if you have additional comments/edits. If not, we'll work with Robin on a clean copy of the report and formatting the transmittal memo for your signature, Samantha.

Thanks,

Nicole

**From:** Bolen, Brittany  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 25, 2017 7:59 PM  
**To:** Rees, Sarah <[rees.sarah@epa.gov](mailto:rees.sarah@epa.gov)>; Dravis, Samantha <[dravis.samantha@epa.gov](mailto:dravis.samantha@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Kime, Robin <[Kime.Robin@epa.gov](mailto:Kime.Robin@epa.gov)>; Nickerson, William <[Nickerson.William@epa.gov](mailto:Nickerson.William@epa.gov)>; Schillo, Bruce <[Schillo.Bruce@epa.gov](mailto:Schillo.Bruce@epa.gov)>; Owens, Nicole <[Owens.Nicole@epa.gov](mailto:Owens.Nicole@epa.gov)>; Curry, Bridgid <[Curry.Bridgid@epa.gov](mailto:Curry.Bridgid@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Revised Reg Reform Progress Report  
**Importance:** High

Good evening,

Attached are my final edits to the 90-day report. Please work from the clean copy. For your reference, I also attached my edits in track changes. I appreciate everyone's hard work on this effort. The only items that need filled in are those under OCSPP that include text in red.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Brittany

**From:** Rees, Sarah  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 23, 2017 7:04 PM  
**To:** Dravis, Samantha <[dravis.samantha@epa.gov](mailto:dravis.samantha@epa.gov)>; Bolen, Brittany <[bolen.brittany@epa.gov](mailto:bolen.brittany@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Kime, Robin <[Kime.Robin@epa.gov](mailto:Kime.Robin@epa.gov)>; Nickerson, William <[Nickerson.William@epa.gov](mailto:Nickerson.William@epa.gov)>; Schillo, Bruce <[Schillo.Bruce@epa.gov](mailto:Schillo.Bruce@epa.gov)>; Owens, Nicole <[Owens.Nicole@epa.gov](mailto:Owens.Nicole@epa.gov)>; Curry, Bridgid <[Curry.Bridgid@epa.gov](mailto:Curry.Bridgid@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Revised Reg Reform Progress Report

Hi folks. Attached is a revised draft progress report. It incorporates the redline and other comments that Samantha provided earlier, as well as additional changes that we felt better hit the mark.

Couple of things to note:

## **Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

Let us know what you think.



Cheers,

Sarah

**Sarah L. Rees, Ph.D.**

Director, Office of Regulatory Policy & Management

US EPA – Office of Policy

(202) 564-1986 (o) | (202) 407-5074 (m)

<EO 13777 90-day Status Report 5.26.2017.docx>

<Cover memo for EO 13777 90-day Status Report.docx>

<EO 13777 90-day Status Report 5.26.2017.docx>

<Cover memo for EO 13777 90-day Status Report.docx>

**To:** Bowman, Liz[Bowman.Liz@epa.gov]  
**From:** Dravis, Samantha  
**Sent:** Thur 5/25/2017 8:45:51 PM  
**Subject:** FW: reg progress report?

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

**From:** Jones, Enesta  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 25, 2017 4:43 PM  
**To:** Graham, Amy <graham.amy@epa.gov>; Press <Press@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Germann, Sandy <Germann.Sandy@epa.gov>; Kime, Robin <Kime.Robin@epa.gov>;  
Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** AMY: reg progress report?

Just spoke to Sandy. The report hasn't gone yet to Admin. Here's the comfort level on the response. Liz said this to E&E last week.

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

**Enesta Jones**

**U.S. EPA**

**Office of Media Relations**

**Office: 202.564.7873**

**Cell: 202.236.2426**

**“The root of all joy is gratefulness.”**

**From:** Graham, Amy  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 25, 2017 11:27 AM  
**To:** Jones, Enesta <[Jones.Enesta@epa.gov](mailto:Jones.Enesta@epa.gov)>; Press <[Press@epa.gov](mailto:Press@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: reg progress report?

Great, thanks

**From:** Jones, Enesta  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 25, 2017 11:22 AM  
**To:** Press <[Press@epa.gov](mailto:Press@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** AMY: reg progress report?

Liz approved this response yesterday. Checking with Sam D. if we have submitted it.

## **Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

**Enesta Jones**

**U.S. EPA**

**Office of Media Relations**

**Office: 202.564.7873**

**Cell: 202.236.2426**

**“The root of all joy is gratefulness.”**

**From:** Bolen, Cheryl [<mailto:CBolen@bna.com>]

**Sent:** Thursday, May 25, 2017 10:44 AM

**To:** Press <[Press@epa.gov](mailto:Press@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** reg progress report?

EPA Press,

We are writing a follow-up story to last month's report on Regulatory Reform Officers and Regulatory Reform Task Forces required under Executive Order 13777, "Enforcing the Regulatory Reform Agenda." This order requires agencies by today to provide a report to the agency head detailing the agency's progress toward identifying regulations for repeal, replacement, or modification.

Has your agency task force submitted a progress report to your agency head?

We are writing a story today with a roughly 6:00 p.m. deadline. Thank you for your help! Cheryl Bolen

## **Cheryl Bolen**

White House Correspondent

**Bloomberg BNA**

Direct 202.347.2710

Mobile 202.680.2410

[cbolen@bna.com](mailto:cbolen@bna.com)

**To:** Shaw, Nena[Shaw.Nena@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Kenny, Shannon[Kenny.Shannon@epa.gov]; Kime, Robin[Kime.Robin@epa.gov]  
**From:** Dravis, Samantha  
**Sent:** Fri 4/14/2017 2:57:55 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Edits to Commerce Response

We have until Wednesday now, so please get an estimate of the processing time for all the major permitting programs. There should be an estimate out there somewhere.

-----Original Message-----

From: Shaw, Nena  
Sent: Thursday, April 13, 2017 11:44 PM  
To: Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>  
Cc: Kenny, Shannon <Kenny.Shannon@epa.gov>; Kime, Robin <Kime.Robin@epa.gov>  
Subject: Edits to Commerce Response

Samantha -

I want to give you a brief description of all the changes I made to the document and how I addressed your comments/edits.

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

# **Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

Best, Nena

Sent from my iPhone

**To:** Rees, Sarah[rees.sarah@epamail.epa.gov]; Bolen, Brittany[bolen.brittany@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Kime, Robin[Kime.Robin@epa.gov]  
**From:** Dravis, Samantha  
**Sent:** Tue 4/25/2017 9:41:36 PM  
**Subject:** RE: draft of message that would clarify what the Reg Reform Task Force would like to receive from program offices by May 15

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

**From:** Rees, Sarah  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 25, 2017 5:31 PM  
**To:** Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>; Bolen, Brittany <bolen.brittany@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Kime, Robin <Kime.Robin@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: draft of message that would clarify what the Reg Reform Task Force would like to receive from program offices by May 15

Hey folks – just reminding again about the email below – we’d like this (or some version if you have edits) sent ASAP to the programs so that they know what our expectations are for responding to the RRTF by May 15. Let me know if you have any questions.

Cheers,

Sarah

**From:** Nickerson, William  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 25, 2017 5:29 PM  
**To:** Rees, Sarah <rees.sarah@epamail.epa.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: draft of message that would clarify what the Reg Reform Task Force would like to receive from program offices by May 15

William (Bill) Nickerson

Associate Office Director



Office of Regulatory Policy and Management  
Office of Policy  
Phone: (202) 566-0326

**From:** Nickerson, William  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 20, 2017 1:06 PM  
**To:** Dravis, Samantha <[dravis.samantha@epa.gov](mailto:dravis.samantha@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Kime, Robin <[Kime.Robin@epa.gov](mailto:Kime.Robin@epa.gov)>; Bolen, Brittany <[bolen.brittany@epa.gov](mailto:bolen.brittany@epa.gov)>; Rees, Sarah <[rees.sarah@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:rees.sarah@epamail.epa.gov)>; Curry, Bridgid <[Curry.Bridgid@epa.gov](mailto:Curry.Bridgid@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** draft of message that would clarify what the Reg Reform Task Force would like to receive from program offices by May 15

Samantha,

Several program offices have asked for additional detail on what they should submit to the RRTF by May 15. The note below, crafted with input from those on the CC line of this e-mail, attempts to respond to those requests and is drafted under the assumption that you would send it out to the programs and regions, similar to the message you sent on April 10. Please let us know if you are comfortable with this approach, or if you would like any changes to the draft message below. We thought it would be most helpful if the message could go out today or tomorrow, in advance of the public meetings and teleconferences that will start next week. Thank you.

\*\*\*\*\*

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

## **Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

**To:** Darwin, Henry[darwin.henry@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Bolen, Brittany[bolen.brittany@epa.gov]  
**Bcc:** Bowman, Liz[Bowman.Liz@epa.gov]  
**From:** Dravis, Samantha  
**Sent:** Tue 8/22/2017 2:28:22 PM  
**Subject:** FW: Reg reform measure

Brittany and I are in close contact every single day with political leadership at OMB and NEC.

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Can we sit down and discuss this? I am here all week and can be flexible.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Kenny, Shannon  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 22, 2017 10:22 AM  
**To:** Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Reg reform measure

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Sent from my iPad

**To:** Bolen, Brittany[bolen.brittany@epa.gov]  
**From:** Dravis, Samantha  
**Sent:** Tue 4/25/2017 2:59:58 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Request for Review & Meeting on EPA's Clay MACT Rule

I asked Robin to reach out to her today and set up a meeting for us.

**From:** Bolen, Brittany  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 25, 2017 10:56 AM  
**To:** Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Request for Review & Meeting on EPA's Clay MACT Rule

No need to apologize.

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

## **Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

**From:** Dravis, Samantha  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 25, 2017 10:44 AM  
**To:** Bolen, Brittany <bolen.brittany@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Request for Review & Meeting on EPA's Clay MACT Rule

No not yet, sorry

**From:** Bolen, Brittany  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 25, 2017 10:27 AM  
**To:** Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Request for Review & Meeting on EPA's Clay MACT Rule

Hey, did you respond to this?

**From:** Bolen, Brittany  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 19, 2017 1:52 PM  
**To:** Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Request for Review & Meeting on EPA's Clay MACT Rule

Yes.

**From:** Dravis, Samantha  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 19, 2017 1:24 PM  
**To:** Bolen, Brittany <[bolen.brittany@epa.gov](mailto:bolen.brittany@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** FW: Request for Review & Meeting on EPA's Clay MACT Rule

This must be reg reform task force. Should we respond and invite her to comment in the docket?

**From:** Maciolek Natalie - Attorney [<mailto:Natalie.Maciolek@kohler.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 19, 2017 1:04 PM  
**To:** Dravis, Samantha <[dravis.samantha@epa.gov](mailto:dravis.samantha@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Request for Review & Meeting on EPA's Clay MACT Rule

Dear Ms. Dravis,


Attached is a letter requesting EPA's review of the Clay MACT Rule, as well as a request for a meeting.

Regards,

Natalie

**Natalie Maciolek**

Lead Attorney



Office: (920) 459-1685

Mobile: (920) 917-8948

Email: [Natalie.Maciolek@kohler.com](mailto:Natalie.Maciolek@kohler.com)

444 Highland Drive | Kohler | WI | 53044

Experience Gracious Living Online at <http://www.kohler.com>

***PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL.*** *The content of this message may be confidential.*

*If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender. Any unauthorized use of this transmission is prohibited.*

**To:** Rees, Sarah[rees.sarah@epa.gov]; Nickerson, William[Nickerson.William@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Bolen, Brittany[bolen.brittany@epa.gov]  
**From:** Dravis, Samantha  
**Sent:** Mon 5/22/2017 6:29:39 PM  
EO 13777 90-day Status Report with recommendations\_clean.docx

Here are some initial edits, but candidly, this needs a lot of work in the next few days.

## **Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

## **Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

I have some additional ideas I would like incorporated and I'll hand deliver those to you, Sarah.

Brittany will also have edits to this.

**To:** Thomas Gibson[tgibson@steel.org]  
**From:** Jackson, Ryan  
**Sent:** Mon 5/15/2017 11:25:58 PM  
**Subject:** RE: American Iron and Steel Speaking Engagement

I'm nearly there on this. I'm sorry for the initial problems.

**From:** Thomas Gibson [mailto:tgibson@steel.org]  
**Sent:** Sunday, May 14, 2017 1:28 PM  
**To:** Jackson, Ryan <jackson.ryan@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: American Iron and Steel Speaking Engagement

Ryan,

Audience will be about 150 steel executives including CEOs of all the big US mills. Both electric arc furnace and integrated (iron ore/blast furnace) steelmakers. The industry has a very positive track record of improving environmental performance, reducing emissions and energy consumption, and increasing efficiency. It was a participant in the old Sector Strategies Program, run out of OP (then OPEI). It was started under the Clinton EPA, we expanded it to additional sectors in Bush '43, but the Obama EPA eliminated it.

Our biggest issue set is the suite of air regulations. It has both direct and indirect impacts on us. Since steel mills will usually be any utilities largest commercial customer, we have a large interest in all of the utility regs. We also are directly affected by all of the general air regs and some sector-specific regs. We responded to the earlier IFR from Commerce on government-wide regulatory reform, and focused on EPA. I am attaching that. Tomorrow we are finalizing the submission to EPA's IFR on existing regs, which will be very similar. It will focus on a number of issues our members encounter on CAA permitting (NSR/PSD, routine maintenance and repair, modeling issues for NAAQS, etc. Cercla 108(b) hardrock mining rule is important to our members with mining operations. We are engaged on the various water/wetlands issues.

Is this what you need? Something more focused?

Tom



**From:** Jackson, Ryan [<mailto:jackson.ryan@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Sunday, May 14, 2017 12:47 PM  
**To:** Thomas Gibson  
**Subject:** Re: American Iron and Steel Speaking Engagement

Can I get some talkers or context?

Ryan Jackson

Chief of Staff

U.S. EPA

**Ex. 6 - Personal**

On May 13, 2017, at 11:49 AM, Thomas Gibson <[tgibson@steel.org](mailto:tgibson@steel.org)> wrote:

FYI, response from Sydney.

Tom

Thomas J. Gibson

President and Chief Executive Officer

American Iron and Steel Institute

25 Massachusetts Avenue, NW

Suite 800

Washington, DC 20001

Phone: 202.452.7146

Fax: 202.452.1039

Email: [tgibson@steel.org](mailto:tgibson@steel.org)

[www.steel.org](http://www.steel.org)

**From:** Hupp, Sydney [<mailto:hupp.sydney@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 27, 2017 10:26 AM  
**To:** Thomas Gibson <[tgibson@steel.org](mailto:tgibson@steel.org)>  
**Cc:** Dickerson, Aaron <[dickerson.aaron@epa.gov](mailto:dickerson.aaron@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** American Iron and Steel Speaking Engagement

Good morning Mr. Gibson,

Hopeful that this email finds you well! Reaching out today regarding your invitation for Administrator Pruitt to speak to the American Iron and Steel Manufacturers on May 23<sup>rd</sup>. Unfortunately due to travel we won't be able to participate in the event this time. My apologies for the delay in getting back to you, it has been a whirlwind over here. Please don't hesitate to reach out to us in the future though for other events! Thank you!

Best,

Sydney Hupp

**To:** Hupp, Sydney[hupp.sydney@epa.gov]  
**From:** Jackson, Ryan  
**Sent:** Mon 5/15/2017 11:25:44 PM  
**Subject:** FW: American Iron and Steel Speaking Engagement  
[AISI -- Commerce RFI on Regulatory Impact on Manufacturing - Final.pdf](#)

Can we get this squeezed in? Are there any other speeches around this?

**From:** Thomas Gibson [mailto:tgibson@steel.org]  
**Sent:** Sunday, May 14, 2017 1:28 PM  
**To:** Jackson, Ryan <jackson.ryan@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: American Iron and Steel Speaking Engagement

Ryan,

Audience will be about 150 steel executives including CEOs of all the big US mills. Both electric arc furnace and integrated (iron ore/blast furnace) steelmakers. The industry has a very positive track record of improving environmental performance, reducing emissions and energy consumption, and increasing efficiency. It was a participant in the old Sector Strategies Program, run out of OP (then OPEI). It was started under the Clinton EPA, we expanded it to additional sectors in Bush '43, but the Obama EPA eliminated it.

Our biggest issue set is the suite of air regulations. It has both direct and indirect impacts on us. Since steel mills will usually be any utilities largest commercial customer, we have a large interest in all of the utility regs. We also are directly affected by all of the general air regs and some sector-specific regs. We responded to the earlier IFR from Commerce on government-wide regulatory reform, and focused on EPA. I am attaching that. Tomorrow we are finalizing the submission to EPA's IFR on existing regs, which will be very similar. It will focus on a number of issues our members encounter on CAA permitting (NSR/PSD, routine maintenance and repair, modeling issues for NAAQS, etc. Cercla 108(b) hardrock mining rule is important to our members with mining operations. We are engaged on the various water/wetlands issues.

Is this what you need? Something more focused?

Tom

**From:** Jackson, Ryan [<mailto:jackson.ryan@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Sunday, May 14, 2017 12:47 PM  
**To:** Thomas Gibson  
**Subject:** Re: American Iron and Steel Speaking Engagement

Can I get some talkers or context?

Ryan Jackson

Chief of Staff

U.S. EPA

**Ex. 6 - Personal**

On May 13, 2017, at 11:49 AM, Thomas Gibson <[tgibson@steel.org](mailto:tgibson@steel.org)> wrote:

FYI, response from Sydney.

Tom

Thomas J. Gibson

President and Chief Executive Officer

American Iron and Steel Institute

25 Massachusetts Avenue, NW

Suite 800

Washington, DC 20001

Phone: 202.452.7146

Fax: 202.452.1039

Email: [tgibson@steel.org](mailto:tgibson@steel.org)

[www.steel.org](http://www.steel.org)

**From:** Hupp, Sydney [<mailto:hupp.sydney@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 27, 2017 10:26 AM  
**To:** Thomas Gibson <[tgibson@steel.org](mailto:tgibson@steel.org)>  
**Cc:** Dickerson, Aaron <[dickerson.aaron@epa.gov](mailto:dickerson.aaron@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** American Iron and Steel Speaking Engagement

Good morning Mr. Gibson,

Hopeful that this email finds you well! Reaching out today regarding your invitation for Administrator Pruitt to speak to the American Iron and Steel Manufacturers on May 23<sup>rd</sup>. Unfortunately due to travel we won't be able to participate in the event this time. My apologies for the delay in getting back to you, it has been a whirlwind over here. Please don't hesitate to reach out to us in the future though for other events! Thank you!

Best,

Sydney Hupp

**To:** Bolen, Brittany[bolen.brittany@epa.gov]  
**From:** Jackson, Ryan  
**Sent:** Tue 6/20/2017 11:50:36 AM

How many comments did we get to our regulatory reform solitication?

---

Ryan Jackson  
Chief of Staff  
U.S. EPA

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** [REDACTED] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]; Flynn, Mike[Flynn.Mike@epa.gov]; Bridgers, George[Bridgers.George@epa.gov]; Parker, Barrett[Parker.Barrett@epa.gov]; Cook, Carole[Cook.Carole@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Stone, Walter[Walter.Stone@nrg.com]  
**From:** Race, Maria  
**Sent:** Tue 5/16/2017 2:19:34 AM  
**Subject:** Submittal copy for reponse to Docket No. EPA-HQ-OA-2017-0190, regarding Air Issues  
[Air document final.pdf](#)

Please find NRG's submittal under Executive Order 13777, Docket No. EPA-HQ-OA-2017-0190 regarding air issues. Please contact me with any questions.

Maria Race

Director, Federal Environmental Programs

312 925 3608

**To:** Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]; Flynn, Mike[Flynn.Mike@epa.gov]; Jordan, Ronald[Jordan.Ronald@epa.gov]; Johnson, Barnes[Johnson.Barnes@epa.gov]; Souders, Steve[Souders.Steve@epa.gov]; Christian, Myrta[Christian.Myrta@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Stone, Walter[Walter.Stone@nrg.com]  
**From:** Race, Maria  
**Sent:** Tue 5/16/2017 2:23:26 AM  
**Subject:** Submittal copy for response to Docket No. EPA-HQ-OA-2017-0190, regarding Water and Land issues  
[Appendix A Final USWAG Petition for Reconsideration 5 12 2017.pdf](#)  
[Water and land document Final.pdf](#)

Please find NRG's submittal under Executive Order 13777, Docket No. EPA-HQ-OA-2017-0190 regarding water issues. Please contact me with any questions.

Maria Race

Director, Federal Environmental Programs

312 925 3608



**To:** "http://www.regulations"@epa.gov["http://www.regulations"@epa.gov]; Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]; trump.donald@USA.gov[trump.donald@USA.gov]  
**From:** Glory Adams  
**Sent:** Tue 5/2/2017 7:51:02 PM  
**Subject:** Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OA-2017-0190

I am just an ordinary retired special needs teacher from Wisconsin. I have seen a significant increase in the laxity of regulations that protect our environment over the past 10 years. The consequence is a deterioration of our air, water, and land throughout the state and the nation. Typically, businesses are being allowed to receive variances to regulations and the Wisconsin DNR is unresponsive to citizen concerns unless they are sued. The state of Wisconsin has had to beg the EPA to be involved in air quality issues that our DNR has been unwilling to enforce.

You've asked what can be eliminated. Truthfully, the existing regulations are inadequate to protect citizens. There aren't regulations or departments to eliminate. Anything that is thrown aside is going to be a negative for citizens' health, safety, and welfare. This is an egregious task as the EPA has been defunded steadily over the past decade.

"(iv) create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with regulatory reform initiatives and policies;" If this means policies of Trump and Pruitt, a very significant number of regulations can be simply scratched because they have beliefs contrary to science. I can give you no advice. All I can say is that this task is unwarranted and results will most likely be detrimental to our country and the planet.

I thank all the professional scientists at the EPA that are being subjected to current policies for their efforts and past works.

Glory Adams

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Mon 5/15/2017 4:33:24 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 15, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Cassandra Marquez

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Mon 5/15/2017 2:37:24 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 15, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Sophia Howerton

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 12:51:54 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Valentine McKay-Riddell

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 1:54:21 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Tor Nichols

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 12:56:23 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Barry Masteller

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 1:54:21 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Andrea Phillips

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 1:20:50 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Vigil

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 1:54:53 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Veronica Barrett

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 1:22:29 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Gladys DeFausell

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 1:54:53 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Terrence Pecos

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 1:49:56 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Tim Long

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 1:57:09 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Matthew Drake

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 2:23:27 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristen Morgan

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Tue 5/9/2017 4:21:09 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 9, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Jackson

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 2:28:22 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Zack Scales

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 11:27:25 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 13, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Adkison

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 2:51:19 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Rhonda Mayorga

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 2:55:47 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Anne Rennick

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 11:31:06 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 13, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Maria Granillo

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 3:21:56 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Eileen Moreno

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 2:56:33 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Russell Milazzo

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sun 5/14/2017 12:00:42 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 13, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Liz Trujillo

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 3:22:22 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen L. Alexander

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 2:59:04 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Linette Reilly-Hamil

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sun 5/14/2017 2:00:02 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 13, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Paul and Bobbie Davison

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 3:24:12 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Quinn

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 3:25:15 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynn Hoth

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sun 5/14/2017 2:27:40 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 13, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Gail Livingston

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 3:52:33 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Meaghan Karaffa

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 3:25:43 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Jamie Long

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sun 5/14/2017 3:58:28 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 13, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. jason palmer

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 5:20:06 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 11, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Eileen Walsh

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 3:53:46 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Sue Bastian

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sun 5/14/2017 4:28:19 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 13, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Samantha Alvarado

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 5:20:22 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 11, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Samuel Denman

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 3:54:46 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Lorie Gallegos

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sun 5/14/2017 5:30:25 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 14, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Alissa Friend

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 5:50:37 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 11, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Timothy Murphy

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 3:56:30 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Val Talento

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sun 5/14/2017 5:58:51 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 14, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Stephanie Lee

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 12:22:26 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 11, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Amanda Trujillo-Gonzales

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 3:57:41 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Gary Jones

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sun 5/14/2017 1:58:55 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 14, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Elisa Alford

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 1:52:42 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 11, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Nadina Folch

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 4:24:55 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. L M Liess

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sun 5/14/2017 2:02:24 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 14, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Ronnie Steltzer

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 2:22:48 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 11, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Neal Schneider

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 4:29:18 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Scarlett Higgins

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sun 5/14/2017 2:31:30 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 14, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Ketra Rock

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 4:56:22 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Spencer Taylor

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 6:24:51 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Elaine Goldsboro

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sun 5/14/2017 3:01:55 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 14, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. NALANI JAMES

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 5:24:04 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Ana Lopez

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 5:55:11 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. E Kearns

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sun 5/14/2017 3:03:18 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 14, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Frances Hunter

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 6:54:26 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Amanda Andrade

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 5:28:50 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Lloyd

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sun 5/14/2017 3:33:55 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 14, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Melissa Hieter

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 6:56:27 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Alejandra Dawson

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 5:02:58 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Jackie Camborde

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sun 5/14/2017 8:00:43 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 14, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Martha L. Morales

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 7:56:51 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Melissa Kanter

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 2:22:10 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Jessamy Dyer

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sun 5/14/2017 9:02:13 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 14, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Tresa Vorenberg

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 8:24:44 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. William Harker

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 2:51:29 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. NS Khalsa

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Mon 5/15/2017 12:01:42 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 14, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Suetana Jackson

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 8:25:35 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Jackie Nobles

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 2:59:04 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Cathv Tornberg

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Mon 5/15/2017 2:31:02 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 14, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Weinbrenner

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 3:27:31 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Caroline Owen

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 8:28:05 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Jacqueline Anguiano

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Mon 5/15/2017 3:32:21 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 14, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Aylmer

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 4:10:20 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Bobby Floyd

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 9:24:37 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Zamora

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 4:11:54 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Marya Roddis

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Mon 5/15/2017 3:33:21 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 14, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Christine Baranowski

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 9:26:26 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Esther Melvin

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Tue 5/9/2017 7:48:11 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 9, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Steven Close

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Mon 5/15/2017 4:01:07 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 14, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Smith

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 9:27:11 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Sheryl Russell

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Tue 5/9/2017 10:18:34 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 9, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. yonatan yoshpe

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Mon 5/15/2017 4:32:19 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 14, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Desiree Montoya

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 9:58:01 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Joyce Huffman

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Tue 5/9/2017 11:18:23 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 9, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. A K

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Mon 5/15/2017 5:31:46 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 15, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Amber Del Vitto

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 10:28:14 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Charlotte Spencer

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 12:46:41 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 9, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Bowlby

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Mon 5/15/2017 12:32:45 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 15, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Nickerson

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 12:58:33 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Harriet James

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 1:18:27 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 9, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Robert Fischhoff

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 1:25:07 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 11, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Christine Pointeau

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 1:26:54 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Cindy Christensen

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 2:19:51 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 9, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Satterthwaite

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Fri 5/12/2017 5:54:10 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Bulloch

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 1:54:39 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Lloyd DeWald

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 2:48:44 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 9, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. William Swinney

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 8:54:29 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 11, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Maxine Conant

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 3:26:47 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Miki Holden

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 3:21:17 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 9, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Weddle

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 3:24:01 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 11, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Claude Francois

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 3:56:56 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Joanne Farrell

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 12:50:50 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Melanie Lewis

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 3:55:53 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 11, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Carolyn Nickerson-Harper

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 4:25:47 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 12, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Hedgepeth

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Mon 5/8/2017 11:57:04 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 8, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Serna

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 3:59:10 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 11, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Gabrielle Freel

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 12:56:05 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 13, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Glen Banks

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Tue 5/9/2017 1:39:48 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 8, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Carly Camarena

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 1:29:00 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 13, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Jorel Chavez

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Tue 5/9/2017 2:39:21 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 8, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Timothy Hampton

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 5:56:57 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 11, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. John Kurzweg

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 1:57:45 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 13, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. John DeYoung

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Tue 5/9/2017 2:42:05 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 8, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Karla Klaus

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 2:55:55 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 11, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Karmen Strong

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 1:58:18 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 13, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Christiansen

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Tue 5/9/2017 3:09:36 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 8, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Valerie Romero

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 2:53:24 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 11, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Wanda Lobito

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 2:30:53 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 13, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Margaret Collyer

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Tue 5/9/2017 3:11:27 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 8, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Tina Glover

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 6:50:30 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Teresa Bevin

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 3:26:30 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 13, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristina Milano

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Tue 5/9/2017 4:59:46 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 8, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Valdez

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 6:52:11 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. katrina milne

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 5:56:51 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 13, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. elizabeth sharpe

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Tue 5/9/2017 5:29:05 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 9, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Brette Vikser

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 7:54:33 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Ashleigh Olguin

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 8:59:04 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 13, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Ann Emily

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Tue 5/9/2017 7:09:50 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 9, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Scott

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 8:23:50 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. El Nelson

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 9:31:42 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 13, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. walter F Herrera

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Tue 5/9/2017 7:10:13 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 9, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Stephanie Vorse

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 8:58:43 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Jenna Harper

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Sat 5/13/2017 9:59:39 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 13, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Viereck

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Tue 5/9/2017 1:42:52 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 9, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Jean Kelly

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 9:22:35 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Summer Gomes

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Tue 5/9/2017 3:17:14 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 9, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Marshall Kavanaugh

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 9:57:36 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. vince sanchez

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 10:25:56 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Israel

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Tue 5/9/2017 3:48:22 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 9, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Terr Toney

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 10:29:35 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Lois Purvis

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Tue 5/9/2017 4:50:01 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 9, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Anne B.

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 10:57:28 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Lily Jackman

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 11:22:56 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. LG Lauxman

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 11:26:39 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Jo-Anne Skinner

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 11:27:36 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Rita M Howard

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Wed 5/10/2017 11:52:37 PM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Rebecca Lami

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** Care2  
**Sent:** Thur 5/11/2017 12:51:05 AM  
**Subject:** Keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

May 10, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear Administrator Pruitt,

I am writing today as a New Mexican to ask you to keep our public health safeguards intact. I will not allow conversations about protections for the air we breathe and the water we drink to be defined by dollars and cents for a few well-connected industries.

My access to clean water and clean air shouldn't be based on where I live. National protections, enforced by the EPA, promote the public health of all of our people.

While we have made progress over the years, many communities, often low-income, rural and communities of color, still lack access to safe and clean water, clean air, and the quality of life they deserve. Strong guidelines and safeguards from the EPA are needed to fight environmental injustice and ensure that states and municipalities do all they can to work with these communities to ensure access to clean water and improve public health.

We can have a healthy environment and a robust economy. We need the EPA to stand up for people, public health and the environment - not for polluters and special interests.

The EPA's right to protect the public was affirmed decades ago by Congress, under the Clean Air Act. But the law is only as strong as its implementation. As you consider your response to Executive Orders 13777, 13771, and 13783, I ask that you keep the EPA's existing safeguards in place, and do not put our lives at risk.

\_\_COMMENT1\_\_

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Morgan

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** NRDC  
**Sent:** Tue 5/16/2017 4:03:14 AM  
**Subject:** I oppose arbitrary efforts to roll back common-sense environmental protections

May 15, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear President Trump and Administrator Pruitt: Administrator Pruitt,

Subject Line: Docket No. EPA-HQ-OA-2017-0190; Don't lose hundreds of thousands, or millions, of jobs

We are writing to lend our full support to all existing EPA regulations and to counter any attempt to misframe these important safeguards as a 'burden.'

All regulations at the Environmental Protection Agency are critical, science-based rules that we need and should not be opened-up for debate or elimination, in order to weaken regulations. All regulations at the EPA must only be strengthened.

Please do everything in your power to make sure that all EPA regulations stay intact. In addition, we urge you to make sure that any attempt to eliminate, gut, rollback or differently weaken, any given EPA regulation, receives its own public input and comment process.

We, along with an overwhelming majority of voting-age Americans, support common-sense regulations and safeguards that protect our environment, our climate, the public's health and our nation's clean energy progress.

We are opposed to any attempts to roll back any environmental safeguards that will leave Americans more vulnerable to the threats from polluters.

We are calling on the EPA to preserve all environmental regulations and rules, as they stand, and all agency programs that protect the air that Americans breathe, the environment that we live in, our water, our land and the climate that we share, from any elimination, gutting, rollback or other weakening.

More than 225 million, voting-age Americans (i.e. 90%, or 9 out of 10, in a comprehensive, 12-year Harvard survey, as of 1/1/15) are probable supporters of all of the requests and suggestions in this letter. These Americans want more solar and wind power installed, rather than more fossil fuel or nuclear power, because solar and wind power provide less pollution and better health. Source - <http://www.forbes.com/sites/jeffmcmahon/2015/01/01/americans-want-america-to-run-on-solar-and-wind/>

I, along with the probable 225 million, voting-age American supporters, including more than 43.15 million supporters of the below listed, more than 70 organizations, or even more supporters, object to your administration's wholesale effort to arbitrarily find regulations that

can be 'repealed, replaced, or modified.'

The probable 225 million, voting-age American supporters, including more than 43.15 million supporters of the below-listed, more than 70 organizations, and the below-listed, more than 200,000 US big and small companies, more than 214 Fortune 500 companies, more than 435 worldwide, institutional investors, more than 2,035 individual large investors, more than 7 states, more than 107 cities, including more than 140 million citizens living in areas with unsafe ozone levels, or even more supporters, and I, are essentially supporting all of the requests and suggestions in this letter.

If you eliminate, gut, rollback or differently weaken any of the existing regulations, rules or other safeguards, then the US will lose hundreds of thousands, or millions, of jobs and President Trump's approval ratings will go down by a very large amount.

If Georgia and the U.S. fail to compete in just the clean energy market, by itself, we will very likely lose many hundreds of thousands, or millions, of jobs to the fastest, growth-leading countries in clean energy, such as China, Germany, India and other countries.

President Trump would, definitely, do an extreme amount of serious, long-lasting damage to his own reputation, influence and ultimate success, if he eliminates, guts, rolls back or differently weakens any of the existing regulations, rules or other safeguards.

If you eliminate, gut, rollback or differently weaken, any of the existing regulations, rules or other safeguards, it would be extremely reckless, harmful, immoral, shameful and entirely, unnecessary behavior.

If you eliminate, gut, rollback or differently weaken, all of the existing regulations, rules or other safeguards, tens of thousands, or thousands of more Americans will die, every year.

If you eliminate, gut, rollback or differently weaken, any of the existing air pollution regulations, rules or other safeguards, tens of thousands, or thousands of more Americans will die, every year.

And, every one of us will be a lot sicker because of the more polluted air. Every one of us will be a lot sicker with lung diseases, heart diseases, cancer, nervous system diseases, hormone system diseases or other diseases that are caused or made worse by polluted air.

Currently, with the existing air pollution regulations, 88,000 to 238,000 Americans die, every year, because of our current levels of air pollution.

If you eliminate, gut, rollback or differently weaken, any of the existing greenhouse gas exhaust or other climate change regulations, rules or other safeguards, thousands of more Americans will die, every year.

Currently, with the existing greenhouse gas exhaust or other climate change regulations, more than about 17,000 Americans die, every year,

because of our current levels of greenhouse gas exhaust.

EPA regulations do not, will not and have not cut down on job growth. Many studies have shown that clean air and water standards create jobs, spur innovation and save lives. Source -

<https://thinkprogress.org/trumps-war-on-epa-regulations-will-kill-jobs-and-a-lot-of-people-784727d5f81d>

71% of Americans believe that 'This country should do whatever it takes to protect the environment.' And 59% believe that 'strongly.' Source -

<https://thinkprogress.org/pew-71-of-americans-say-this-country-should-do-whatever-it-takes-to-protect-the-environment-36f12d1c6b17>

The Environmental Protection Agency's core mission is to protect the environment and safeguard public health. To carry out this mission, the EPA must base its rule-making on a rigorous scientific process that evaluates public health impacts and medical evidence.

All EPA actions and rule-making must be based on this rigorous scientific process that evaluates public health impacts and medical evidence.

The primary purpose of environmental regulation is to protect the public from physical and economic harms to our health. We count on the EPA to do just that.

We rely on the EPA to ensure that the air that we breathe, the water that we drink and the places where we live are free from pollution. We count on the EPA to put in place the strongest possible safeguards to protect our health and the health of our communities.

We rely on the EPA to put the health of regular Americans first - before the anti-health interests of well-connected donors and before polluters looking to cut corners just to boost their bottom lines.

We are calling on the EPA to do its job and protect the rules and regulations that protect our health and our environment.

It is, without any question, not true that environmental safeguards harm our nation and our economy. To the contrary, they increase jobs, increase our economy, protect our health, conserve resources and save lives - benefits that far exceed the cost of compliance, year after year. For example, the Clean Air Act alone has saved millions of lives and more than \$30 trillion in avoided healthcare costs over the course of its implementation.

As you know, environmental regulations play a major role in driving innovation and helping America be a leader in clean energy, green chemistry, environmental technology/consulting and pollution-reduction tools. This innovation creates jobs and revenue. In addition, many of the regulations create net benefits in dollars, human health and ecosystem services that far outweigh the costs to polluters.

In reality, environmental protections save lives, improve health, conserve resources and spur innovation, all while allowing for economic growth and providing far more in benefits than they cost.



Environmental safeguards broadly benefit our health, safety and communities and are critical in protecting the water that we all drink, the air that we all breathe and the food that we all eat.

The Clean Water Act and Safe Drinking Water Act protect drinking water for hundreds of millions of Americans.

The Clean Water Act is estimated to provide an economic benefit of \$11 billion per year. Clean water is good for our economy and vital for our communities, especially for those living near the water shores, or near any water body, or near any river, lake, stream, wetland or any other waters.

The Clean Power Plan is our most important tool for avoiding a climate change catastrophe and is projected to provide billions more in economic benefits than it will cost to implement.

The following rules, among many others, have a clear impact in our communities and must not be weakened or rescinded:

**Toxic Wastewater Protections** - Coal-fired power plants are the largest source of toxic water pollution in the United States, dumping billions of pounds of pollution into America's rivers, streams, and lakes each year. These pollutants, including lead and mercury can be dangerous to humans and wreak havoc in our watersheds even in very small amounts. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency finalized a rule that will reduce the discharge of toxic pollutants into America's waterways from steam electric power plants by 1.4 billion pounds annually, as well as reduce water withdrawal by 57 billion gallons per year. This results in an estimated benefit of \$463 million per year to Americans across the country and cleaner, safer water.

**Clean Water Protections**- For over a decade, conflicting Supreme Court decisions have caused confusion over which waterways are covered by protections of the Clean Water Act--causing millions of stream miles and acres of wetlands to be at risk of pollution and destruction. This potentially jeopardizes safe drinking water supplies. However, the Obama Administration put in place safeguards to restore the Clean Water Act, protecting streams and wetlands from pollution throughout the country. Furthermore, these standards help to protect the drinking water for 1 out of 3 Americans, preserve fish and wildlife habitat and reduce the risk of flooding.

**More Clean Water Protections** - We strongly oppose any regulatory rollbacks that:

Put our sources of drinking water at risk --such as rolling back the Clean Water Rule, which provides protection for 1 in 3 American's source of drinking water or weakening the safeguards that limit toxic water pollution from power plants;

Reduce the public's right to know about water pollution threats or drinking water quality;

Weaken or remove any drinking water standards, regulations or rules; or

Weaken or remove any drinking water standards, regulations or rules

that protect our drinking water from contaminants such as lead, PFCs, hexavalent chromium, toxic pesticides or other dangerous chemicals.

**Clean Air Protections** - The EPA must ensure that air quality standards reflect the current body of scientific and medical research. These data clearly indicate that smog pollution limits should be set at 70 ppb (or lower), that we must aggressively cut carbon pollution, and limit air toxics like mercury and acid gases that power plants emit.

**Visibility Protections** - The EPA's regional haze rule works to reduce the visible and toxic haze that is present in national parks and wilderness areas for much of the year by reducing ozone, nitrogen oxides, sulphur dioxide, carbon dioxide, mercury and other toxic gas particulates. The rule not only protects public health; it also ensures that our national parks and special places have clearer air that is free from haze and smog. This improves the stunning vistas that draw the public to these cherished places.

**Federal Fuel Economy and Emissions Standards for Cars, Trucks and All Vehicles** - The EPA, DOT or other Federal Fuel Economy and Emissions Standards for Cars, Trucks and All Vehicles save consumers money at the pump, decrease climate emissions and cut our oil use. EPA Administrator Pruitt recently re-opened a review of these rules in response to auto industry calls to weaken the standards. We urge you to make sure that any attempt to eliminate, gut, rollback or differently weaken, these rules (or any given EPA regulation), receives its own public input and comment process.

**Safeguarding Communities During Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction** - The EPA must ensure that that states have plans in strong place to address unrestricted emission of toxic pollution during startup, shutdown, and malfunction periods at emitting facilities and limit the serious health effects in neighboring or downwind communities.

**Clean Power Plan** - Following judicial review, the EPA must ensure the implementation of the Clean Power Plan, which regulates carbon dioxide from existing power plants (one of the largest sources of carbon pollution in the United States), through clear, consistent and achievable standards for states. The rule is expected to reduce carbon emissions 32 percent below 2005 levels by 2030, as well as conventional pollutants that cause dangerous smog and soot. This, in turn, will prevent thousands of premature deaths, asthma attacks, heart attacks and hospital visits.

The Clean Power Plan will help to reduce air pollution and will maintain an affordable, reliable energy system, while protecting our health and environment, now and for future generations. The Clean Power Plan is projected to prevent nearly 3,000 premature deaths and almost 100,000 asthma attacks per year by 2030.

By spurring investments in renewable energy, energy efficiency, and other low-carbon technologies, the Clean Power Plan would also improve public health, save consumers money, and create jobs.

The Clean Power Plan's clean energy incentive program will drive clean energy investment in low-income communities, creating jobs and saving

consumers' money, where it is most needed.

Toxic Chemicals Protections - Please strengthen, and do not weaken, toxic chemical regulations to protect public health and the environment. Toxic chemicals found in plastic bottles, flame retardants, metal food cans, detergents, cosmetics and pesticides cost the U.S. more than \$340 billion a year in health costs and lost earnings.

Toxic Pesticide Protections - Please strengthen, and do not weaken, toxic pesticide regulations to protect public health and the environment. Organophosphates pesticides are associated with 1.8 million lost IQ points and 7,500 cases of intellectual disability in the U.S. each year, at an estimated cost of \$44.7 billion. The economic and social impacts of pesticide exposure are devastating.

Toxic Herbicide Protections - Please strengthen, and do not weaken, toxic herbicide regulations to protect public health and the environment.

Chemical Facility Risk Management Protections - The Chemical Facility Risk Management Program has been put on hold by the new EPA administrator, even though communities near these facilities see many accidents and risks and have waited years for improvements. We urge you to make sure that any attempt to delay, eliminate, gut, rollback or differently weaken, the Chemical Facility Risk Management Program or any other Chemical Facility Risk Management rules (or any given EPA regulation), receives its own public input and comment process.

In addition, we object to Executive Order 13777, issued by President Donald Trump.

Executive Order 13777 is problematic for several reasons. But most importantly, it violates the separation of powers and requires agencies to violate federal laws.

It does so by ordering the EPA to eliminate regulations. These orders to eliminate regulations are contrary to the very laws that require the regulations to be put into effect. These orders prioritize corporate costs, when many environmental laws, such as the Clean Air Act, the Federal Water Pollution Control Act and the Toxic Substances Control Act, have a deliberate focus, instead, on the benefits to public health and the environment.

The Environmental Protection Agency must not use its resources to identify ways to abandon its mission of protecting the environment and public health.

Rolling back regulations is a direct attack on the EPA's ability to

protect people and the environment, and it will have significant negative impacts on people's lives. In addition, tens of thousands, or thousands of more Americans will die, every year.

Attempts to put profits over public health and our environment are an affront to human decency and the law -- and we cannot let that happen.

That is why we urge you to, please, do everything in your power to make sure that all EPA regulations stay intact.

In addition, we urge you to make sure that any attempt to eliminate, gut, rollback or differently weaken, any given EPA regulation, receives its own public input and comment process.

Please ensure that no EPA regulation, standard, guideline or rule is eliminated, gutted, rolled back or differently weakened. Please, we urge you to only strengthen existing EPA protections, not roll them back. We need these protections to maintain healthy communities, businesses and ecosystems.

Please stop this senseless campaign to turn back the clock on 50 years of environmental gains. The fate of future generations depends on it.

Please, we urge you to scrap this misguided plan to roll back vital protections that every single American relies on to live.

Thank you for seriously considering our requests and suggestions.

Supporting Subject - Get fastest jobs increase, highest approvals by increasing solar, wind, battery storage, all-electric vehicles

If President Trump and Administrator Pruitt want the fastest and largest jobs increases and the highest approval ratings, then, immediately increasing the installation and use of solar and wind power, battery storage and all-electric vehicles are the fastest and cheapest ways to get it done.

If President Trump and Administrator Pruitt want, as fast as possible, many more tens of thousands, or millions, of jobs and want to be extremely more popular, then we will get more than 3 times the number of permanent jobs from solar and wind power than we would get from natural gas, coal, oil or nuclear power - and, we will get them at a rate that, as of 1/27/17, is 12 times faster than that of the rest of the U.S. economy. We repeat - a rate that is 12 times faster.

If President Trump and Administrator Pruitt want a booming economy as fast as possible, then increasing solar and wind power, battery storage and all-electric vehicles are the fastest and cheapest ways to get it done.

World-record prices to purchase solar or onshore wind power from a brand new, non-subsidized power plant, are far cheaper than purchasing power from a brand new, natural gas, coal or nuclear power plant. We repeat - solar or onshore wind power prices are far cheaper.

World-record prices to purchase solar or onshore wind power from a brand new, non-subsidized power plant, are far cheaper than purchasing power from any other source, period. We repeat - from any other source, period.

World-record prices to purchase solar or onshore wind power from a brand new, non-subsidized power plant, are less than one-half the price of purchasing power from a brand new, coal power plant. We repeat -

solar or wind power is less than one-half the price of coal power.

When all lifetime costs, including the costs for public health and environmental damages and decommissioning, are then added to the prices, the adjusted prices for solar or onshore wind power from a brand new, non-subsidized power plant are then far, far cheaper than purchasing power from a brand new, natural gas, coal or nuclear power plant.

A 1/27/17 study found that solar and wind jobs have grown at rates of about 20% annually in recent years and are each creating jobs at a rate that is 12 times faster than that of the rest of the U.S. economy.  
Source - <http://edfclimatecorps.org/nowhiringreport#1>

In many other studies, solar, wind and geothermal power have been proven to provide more than 3 times the number of permanent jobs than natural gas, coal, oil or nuclear power.

The same 1/27/17 study found that all-electric and plug-in hybrid vehicles in 2016 employed 48% more workers than in 2015. The study found that continued explosive, double-digit job growth in this sector is expected because of the relentless, explosive and exponential growth in the use of all-electric and plug-in hybrid vehicles.

In a 2/13/17 letter, nine Republican governors urged President Trump to support the development of solar and wind power because it is critical, among other things, to increasing jobs and economic growth, especially in rural areas. The letter was signed by Kansas Republican Gov. Sam Brownback, on behalf of the nine Republican governors. Source - <http://www.governorswindenergycoalition.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/GWSC-Letter-to-President-Trump-2-13-17.pdf>

In 2017, the nine Republican governors in the Governors' Wind & Solar Energy Coalition are from the states of Arkansas, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, North Dakota and South Dakota.

On 2/13/17, nine Republican governors sent a letter to every Governor in the US to highlight the explosive growth of solar and wind power that has been accomplished by both Republican and Democratic Governors. The letter was signed by Kansas Republican Gov. Sam Brownback, on behalf of the nine Republican governors. Source - <http://www.governorswindenergycoalition.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/GWSC-Governors-RPS-Letter-merged-2-13-17.pdf>

In the 2/13/17 letter to every Governor, the Governors' Wind & Solar Energy Coalition hoped that President Trump and Congress 'will recognize the vital role that renewable energy now plays in our states' economies -- creating jobs and strengthening national security.'

Both of the above 2/13/17 letters were from the Governors' Wind & Solar Energy Coalition. Both of the 2/13/17 letters were also signed by Rhode Island Democratic Gov. Gina Raimondo, on behalf of the 12 Democratic governors in the coalition.

Investors are constantly increasing the many hundreds of billions of

dollars of their money that they have been putting, every year, into the solar or wind power, battery storage or all-electric vehicle sectors and they are constantly increasing, every year, the many hundreds of billions of dollars that they are withdrawing from the dying and outdated oil, natural gas, coal or nuclear power sectors.

In addition, in 2015, 23 of the top 30 states (or about 77%) with the most installed, utility solar and wind power had a Republican Governor and/or Republican majorities in their state legislature. These twenty-three, 2015 Republican-led states included #1 Texas, #3 Iowa, #4 Oklahoma, #7 Kansas, #11 Michigan, #13 North Dakota, #14 Pennsylvania, #15 Nevada, #16 Indiana, #17 Florida, #18 Georgia, #19 Maine, #20 North Carolina, #21 Arizona and #22 Virginia.

In 2015, 6 of the top 10 states (or 60%) with the most installed utility, residential and commercial solar power had a Republican Governor and/or Republican majorities in their state legislature. The six Republican-led states were #2 Arizona, #3 North Carolina, #4 New Jersey, #5 Nevada, #6 Massachusetts and #10 Texas.

We strongly urge President Trump and Administrator Pruitt to please not allow Georgia, or any state, to continue to fall way behind the many other Republican-led states in the explosive and booming use of solar and wind power, battery storage and all-electric and plug-in hybrid vehicles.

Additional supporters and customers, who have advocated or are required to, as fast as possible, pivot to using 100%, clean solar, wind or geothermal power and 100%, all-electric vehicles, include more than 200,000 US big and small companies, more than 214 Fortune 500 companies, more than 435 worldwide, institutional investors, more than 2,035 individual large investors, more than 7 states, more than 107 cities, more than 140 million citizens and more than 70 organizations. These numbers of supporters and customers are only constantly growing.

In addition, since their 9/24/15 statement, or before, to all government leaders, the six top banks in the US, Bank of America, Citigroup, Goldman Sachs, JPMorgan Chase, Morgan Stanley and Wells Fargo, have essentially advocated for, and are supporters of pivoting to using 100%, clean solar, wind or geothermal power and 100%, all-electric vehicles. Source -

<https://www.ceres.org/news-center/press-releases/major-us-banks-call-leadership-addressing-climate-change>

In their 9/24/15 statement, these six top Wall Street banks said that they are targeting to provide the financing, over the next 15 years, for an estimated \$90 trillion that will need to be invested, worldwide, in low carbon, urban infrastructure, clean energy and clean transportation projects. Source - <http://archive.ceres.org/files/bank-statement-on-climate-policy>

The six top Wall Street banks said that they had already been 'committing significant resources toward financing climate solutions.'

In their 9/24/15 statement, these six top Wall Street banks, essentially urged all government leaders to put in place, stronger

government policies, that will speed-up the pivot to using clean solar or wind power and all-electric or plug-in hybrid vehicles.

Sounding very similar to what the above 9 Republican governors said, the banks essentially said that these stronger government policies (that will speed-up the pivot to using clean solar or wind power and all-electric or plug-in hybrid vehicles) will 'provide greater market certainty, accelerate investment, drive innovation in low carbon energy, and create jobs.'

In their 9/24/15 statement, these six top Wall Street banks, essentially said that every time that government leaders speed-up their policies to pivot to using clean solar or wind power or all-electric or plug-in hybrid vehicles, they will, in turn, speed-up their financing of these kinds of projects.

Several hundreds of thousands of companies are also, constantly, making more money in the booming solar or wind power, battery storage or all-electric vehicle sectors, rather than the dying and outdated oil, natural gas, coal or nuclear power or oil or gas pipeline sectors.

Bailing-out and/or propping-up the dying and outdated oil, natural gas, coal or nuclear power or oil or gas pipeline sectors is extremely worse than piddling into the wind. It is worse than an extremely huge waste of time and money and it is an extremely huge, dying business venture.

According to Goldman Sachs analysts, the use of coal has been in a terminal decline since 2013. We repeat - the use of coal has been permanently dying out since 2013. Many other analysts have made similar findings. Source - <https://thinkprogress.org/we-might-have-finally-seen-peak-coal-5a3e7b15cdfc>

According to Bloomberg New Energy Finance analysts, the use of oil, for transportation, could begin its terminal decline as early as 2023, or by 2026, and no later than 2028. Several other analysts have made similar findings. We repeat - the use of oil, for transportation, could be permanently dying out as early as 2023, or even sooner. Source - <https://www.bloomberg.com/features/2016-ev-oil-crisis/>

A 6/13/16 forecast by Bloomberg New Energy Finance, says that the use of natural gas, for electric power, will be in a terminal decline as early as 2025, or even sooner, and that 'There will be No Golden Age of Gas.' We repeat - the use of natural gas, for electric power, will be permanently dying out as early as 2025, or even sooner. Other analysts have made similar findings. Source - <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2016-06-13/we-ve-almost-reached-peak-fossil-fuels-for-electricity>

For many long years, solar, wind and geothermal power have been proven to be cheaper and faster to install and continue to operate and maintain than natural gas, coal, oil, biomass or nuclear power.

For many long years, the prices for solar and wind power have been explosively, exponentially and relentlessly decreasing. These decreases in prices will continue for many long decades.

For several years, solar and wind power have been providing mainstream power in many states and countries - solar and wind power are no longer 'alternative energy' and have not been referred to as 'alternative energy' sources for several years.

In addition, the utility-scale and residential-scale battery power storage sectors for solar and wind power are also booming they are in long-term, explosive, exponential and relentless growth, right now.

The prices for utility-scale and residential-scale battery power storage for solar and wind power are explosively, exponentially and relentlessly decreasing, right now.

In the US, in Europe and elsewhere, the power grid has been proven to be more reliable when using only solar and wind power (especially when it is used in conjunction with proven, already in use, utility-scale, battery storage) than with more expensive and much slower to bring online, from a cold startup, natural gas, coal or nuclear power plants.

For many long years, every possible objection to continuing to proceed with the immediate pivot to the use of 100% solar and wind power, battery storage and all-electric vehicles has been thoroughly debunked and proven to be false by the evidence in countless, published articles by Dr. Joseph Romm, Ph.D. Physics, and many others. Source - <https://thinkprogress.org/@jromm>

Very soon, it is very likely that every politician in the US and the entire world, including the national Republican party in the US, will be supporting the immediate pivot to the use of 100% solar and wind power, battery storage and all-electric vehicles. This will happen because of the overwhelming business reasons and market trends and the overwhelming numbers of business and other customers and supporters. There is absolutely no question that, very soon, this will happen.

The global, clean energy market is projected to total over \$60 trillion in the next 25 years. If we fail to compete, companies and workers in the fastest, growth-leading countries in clean energy, such as China, Germany, India and other countries, will dominate the market for clean energy resources and technology.

More and more companies, cities, states, retail and other customers are ordering only clean power and clean vehicles - they will not or cannot (by their own policies) buy coal, natural gas or nuclear power or vehicles that are not all-electric or plug-in hybrid. These numbers of buyers of clean power and clean vehicles are only explosively, exponentially and relentlessly increasing, right now.

If Georgia and the U.S. fail to compete in the clean energy market, we will very likely lose many hundreds of thousands, or millions, of jobs to the fastest, growth-leading countries in clean energy, such as China, Germany, India and other countries.

In addition, a continually-growing coalition of more than 408 worldwide, institutional investors, who manage more than \$24 trillion in assets, and who have all signed the 'Global Investor Statement on



Climate Change,' are continuing to remain firmly committed to investing, every year, many tens, or hundreds, of billions of dollars in only, clean energy and vehicle projects.

Sources -

[1] <http://investorsonclimatechange.org/>

[2]

<http://investorsonclimatechange.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/22NovGlobalInvesorStatementClimateChange.pdf>

The above coalition of more than 408 very large, institutional investors include BlackRock, Allianz Global Investors, AXA Group, Deutsche Bank Asset Management, the California Public Employees Retirement System and other pension funds from Sweden to Australia.

If Georgia and the U.S. fail to compete in the clean energy market, tens or hundreds of billions of investment dollars, in only clean energy and vehicles, from the above very large, institutional and other investors will most likely go to companies, workers, states, cities and others in other clean energy-leading countries, such as China, Germany, India and other countries.

If President Trump and Administrator Pruitt want the fastest and largest jobs increases and the highest approval ratings, then increasing solar and wind power, battery storage and all-electric vehicles are the fastest and cheapest ways to get it done.

Please do everything that you can to, immediately, pivot and, immediately, increase in Georgia and in every state, the massive installation and use of 100% solar and wind power, battery storage and all-electric vehicles.

Thank you for seriously considering our requests and suggestions.

--

List of supporters and customers -

All of the following are supporters of pivoting, as fast as possible, to using 100%, clean solar, wind or geothermal power and/or 100%, all-electric vehicles.

All of the following have advocated, or are required to pivot, as fast as possible, to using 100%, clean solar, wind or geothermal power and/or 100%, all-electric vehicles:

- More than 200,000 US big and small companies including GE, AT&T, Verizon and HP
- More than 214 Fortune 500 companies including Walmart, Berkshire Hathaway Energy and Apple
- 14 big food and beverage companies including Nestle USA, PepsiCo, Unilever, Coca-Cola and Mars
- More than 435 worldwide, institutional investors and 2,035 individual large investors
- The six top Wall Street banks, including JPMorgan Chase, Bank of America and Citigroup

- The states of California, New York, Washington, Oregon, Minnesota, Vermont, New Hampshire and Hawaii
- NY City, Los Angeles, Chicago, Houston, Philadelphia, Phoenix, more than 101 other US cities and other municipalities
- More than a probable 225 million Americans who want more solar and wind power installed, rather than more fossil fuel and nuclear power, because solar and wind power provide less pollution and better health
- Over 140 million people living in areas with unsafe ozone levels
- More than 33 million people affected by chronic lung disease
- Over 19 million adults living with asthma
- More than 7 million children affected by asthma
- More than 43.15 million supporters of more than 70 organizations
- More than 1.4 billion religious followers
- More than 6.5 million worldwide companies, with over \$7.3 trillion in total annual revenues

Most of the following supporters supported the aim to keep the global average temperature below an increase of 1.5 degrees Celsius and advocated for an extremely strong agreement at the 2015 Paris COP21 UN Climate Meeting:

As of 7/1/14, more than 214 Fortune 500 companies had set targets to either reduce greenhouse gas emissions, improve energy efficiency or use renewable energy.

1. More than 200,000 US big and small companies, including GE, AT&T, HP, Microsoft and P&G (the Ceres 'Climate Declaration' pledge group, the 7/31/15 support letter of 365 businesses for the CPP, the 'We Mean Business' group, the 'American Business Act on Climate' group, the 'Corporate Renewable Energy Buyers' Principles' group, the RE100 group, the American Sustainable Business Council (ASBC), the Green America 'Green Business Network' and more)

2. Hundreds of Fortune 500 companies, including Walmart, Berkshire Hathaway Energy and Apple (215 Fortune 500 companies in the 6/19/14 'Power Forward 2.0' report, the Ceres 'Climate Declaration' pledge group, the 7/31/15 support letter of 365 businesses for the CPP, the 'We Mean Business' group, the 'American Business Act on Climate' group, the 'Corporate Renewable Energy Buyers' Principles' group, the RE100 group and more)

3. 14 big food and beverage companies, including Nestle USA, PepsiCo, Unilever, Coca-Cola and Mars (See the 11/24/15 letter of 14 global food companies to world leaders calling for a strong global agreement and for government policies to speed up the reduction in greenhouse gas emissions)

4. More than 435 worldwide, institutional investors and 2,035 individual investors, who, over the next 15 years, are targeting to provide the financing for more than \$90 trillion of clean energy and transportation projects (the 'Global Investor Statement on Climate

Change' coalition, the 'We Mean Business' group, the 350.org 'Fossil Free' Pledge group, the 'Divest-Invest Philanthropy' network, the 'Divest-Invest' network, the six top Wall St. and US banks 9/28/15 '\$90 trillion of financing over the next 15 years' statement and more)

5. The six top Wall Street and US banks - JPMorgan Chase, Bank of America, Citigroup, Wells Fargo, Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley. (See the six top Wall St. and US banks 9/28/15 statement to governments, targeting '\$90 trillion of financing over the next 15 years,' for clean energy and transportation projects.)

6. The states of California, New York, Washington, Oregon, Minnesota, Vermont, New Hampshire and Hawaii (signers of the 5/19/15 'Under 2 MOU' agreement and other commitments)

7. NY City, Los Angeles, Chicago, Houston, Philadelphia, Phoenix and more than 101 other US cities and municipalities (signers of the 5/19/15 'Under 2 MOU' agreement, the 'Compact of Mayors' agreement and other commitments)

8. More than a probable 225 million Americans (i.e. 9 out of 10 in a comprehensive, 12-year Harvard survey, as of 1/1/15), who want more solar and wind power installed, rather than more fossil fuel and nuclear power, because solar and wind power provide less pollution and better health. Source - <http://www.forbes.com/sites/jeffmcmahon/2015/01/01/americans-want-america-to-run-on-solar-and-wind/>

9. Over 140 million people living in areas with unsafe ozone levels

10. More than 33 million people affected by chronic lung disease

11. Over 19 million adults living with asthma

12. More than 7 million children affected by asthma

13. More than 43.15 million supporters of the more than 70 organizations listed below

14. More than 1.4 billion religious followers (See the 2015 religious leader letters to world leaders calling for a strong global agreement and for government policies to speed up the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions.)

15. More than 6.5 million worldwide companies, with over \$7.3 trillion in total annual revenues (See the 5/21/15 International Chamber of Commerce statement calling for government policies to speed up the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and the above 'More than 200,000 US big and small companies' and more)

Companies, investors, entrepreneurs, owners, executives, business professionals, American citizens, states, cities, other municipalities, organizations and other supporters of pivoting, as fast as possible, to using 100%, clean solar, wind or geothermal power and/or 100%, all-electric vehicles are -

More than 1,600 big and small companies, who have signed the Ceres 'Climate Declaration' pledge, including Apple, Intel, eBay, Microsoft, GM and Unilever, and, who are all doing business in the US, are supporters.

Sources -

[1] <http://www.ceres.org/issues/clean-trillion>

[2] <http://www.ceres.org/about-us/who-we-are>

[3] <http://www.ceres.org/declaration>

[4] <http://www.ceres.org/cop21/>

[5]

<http://www.ceres.org/press/press-releases/building-and-real-estate-companies-join-call-for-action-on-climate-change>

As of 11/25/15, more than 1,600 companies, including Apple, Intel, eBay, Microsoft, GM and Unilever, have signed the Ceres 'Climate Declaration' pledge to choose clean energy and energy efficiency and reduce carbon emissions pollution.

Sources -

[1] <http://www.ceres.org/declaration/sign/who-has-signed>

[2]

<http://www.greenbiz.com/article/road-paris-corporate-crash-course-climate-and-cop21>

[3]

<http://ecoaffect.org/2015/11/26/7-types-of-climate-leaders-to-be-grateful-for-this-thanksgiving/>

[4] <http://www.ceres.org/cop21/>

[5]

<http://www.ceres.org/press/press-releases/building-and-real-estate-companies-join-call-for-action-on-climate-change>

As the above more than 1,600 companies and the Ceres coalition of investors, companies and advocates are all committed 'to reduce carbon emissions,' they are supporters.

Sources -

[1] <http://www.ceres.org/issues/clean-trillion>

[2] <http://www.ceres.org/about-us/who-we-are>

On 7/31/15, 365 businesses and investors, including industry giants such as General Mills, Mars Inc., Nestle, Staples, Unilever and VF Corporation, all sent letters to more than two dozen US governors urging them to finalize and implement their state's plans to meet the EPA's new Clean Power Plan's carbon pollution standards and 'expand clean energy solutions, attract new industries to the state, and create thousands of jobs'. Source - <http://www.ceres.org/issues/climate-change/clean-power-plan/clean-power-plan>

As the US EPA's Clean Power Plan is a plan to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, the above 365 businesses and investors are supporters.

'We Mean Business' is a continually-growing coalition of 160 worldwide investors, with over \$19.8 trillion in assets under management, and 355 worldwide companies, with over \$7.3 trillion in total annual revenues, who are committed to reducing carbon emissions and scaling-up clean, renewable energy (not hydroelectric dam or nuclear power). Source - <http://www.wemeanbusinesscoalition.org/>

As of 9/26/15, the 'We Mean Business' group is calling on world leaders to come together at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris and sign an 'ambitious' climate agreement to reduce global greenhouse gas emissions. Source -

<http://www.wemeanbusinesscoalition.org/blog/%E2%80%9C-paris-climate-agreement-will-help-businesses-go-further-and-faster%E2%80%9D>

As the 'We Mean Business' group is committed to reducing carbon emissions and is calling for stronger government policies to further reduce greenhouse gas emissions, they are supporters.

In addition, as of 12/01/15, 154 Fortune 500 and other companies have signed the Obama Administration's 'American Business Act on Climate' agreement. Signers of this agreement have announced significant commitments 'to reduce their emissions, increase low-carbon investments, deploy more clean energy, and take other actions to build more sustainable businesses and tackle climate change.' Source - <https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2015/12/01/white-house-announces-additional-commitments-american-business-act>

Signers of the 'American Business Act on Climate' agreement are, also, calling for a strong agreement at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris 'that takes a strong step forward toward a low-carbon, sustainable future.'

Fortune 500 companies, such as Alcoa, American Express, AT&T, Berkshire Hathaway Energy, Best Buy, Bloomberg, Cargill, Calpine, Coca-Cola, Dell, General Electric, General Mills, Goldman Sachs, Hewlett Packard, UPS, many other Fortune 500 companies and other companies have all signed the 'American Business Act on Climate' agreement. Source - <https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2015/10/19/fact-sheet-white-house-announces-commitments-american-business-act>

As of 12/1/15, the 154 companies of the 'American Business Act on Climate' agreement have operations in all 50 states, employ over 11 million people and have more than \$4.2 trillion in annual revenues.

As the 'American Business Act on Climate' group of companies are committed to reducing carbon emissions and are calling for stronger government policies to further reduce greenhouse gas emissions, they are supporters.

As of 7/1/14, as 43% of Fortune 500 companies, or 215 in all, had set targets to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, increase their use of energy efficiency or increase their use of renewable energy, they are supporters. Source - <http://www.computerworld.com/article/2491464/sustainable-it/fortune-100-companies-saved--1-1b-using-renewable-energy.html>

As of 7/1/14, 60% of Fortune 100 companies had set targets to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, increase their use of energy efficiency or increase their use of renewable energy. Source - <http://www.computerworld.com/article/2491464/sustainable-it/fortune-100-companies-saved--1-1b-using-renewable-energy.html>

According to the 6/19/14 'Power Forward 2.0' report, put together by Ceres, David Gardiner & Associates, Calvert Investments and the World Wildlife Fund (WWF), 53 Fortune 100 companies had collectively saved \$1.1 billion annually and decreased their annual CO2 emissions by

approximately 58.3 million metric tons the equivalent of retiring 15 coal-fired power plants. Source - <http://www.worldwildlife.org/press-releases/new-analysis-america-s-largest-companies-are-jumping-on-clean-energy-bandwagon-and-saving-more-than-1-billion-a-year>

In addition, the following Fortune 500 and other companies, together with more than 200,000 US companies, and more than 6.5 million worldwide companies, and all of the other companies listed and included in the business groups identified in this letter, are supporters.:

- Walmart, Starbucks, Nike, Johnson & Johnson, Procter & Gamble, Salesforce,
- Apple, Microsoft, Intel, eBay, Yahoo, General Motors,
- Google, Facebook, IBM, Amazon, Siemens, Tesla,
- Amazon Web Services, Equinix, Rackspace, Box,
- General Mills, Mars Inc., Nestle, Staples, Unilever, VF Corporation,
- Volvo, IKEA Group, Hilton, Novelis, Sprint,
- UBS, Philips, H&M and Swiss Re.

Also, as of December 2015, the 49 big companies who have signed onto the Corporate Renewable Energy Buyers' Principles project, including General Motors, Volvo, Starbucks, Unilever, IKEA Group, Hilton, Walmart, Novelis, Facebook, Amazon, Sprint, Microsoft, Google, Intel and Yahoo, are supporters. Source - <http://buyersprinciples.org/about-us/>

The Corporate Renewable Energy Buyers' Principles group makes it super-easy and faster for big companies to sign a big contract to buy the much cheaper, off-site clean, renewable power (not hydroelectric dam or nuclear power) that they want from electric utilities and other very large suppliers. Source - <http://www.utilitydive.com/news/how-a-green-business-group-is-transforming-the-way-utilities-sell-renewable/404801/>

In addition, 53 major companies, as of 12/06/15, who have joined the RE100 worldwide group and committed to using 100% clean, non-hydro, non-nuclear, renewable power, are supporters. Sources -

[1] <http://there100.org/news/14189468>

[2] <http://there100.org/re100>

These 53 major companies, who have joined the RE100 worldwide project, include Coca-Cola Enterprises, Goldman Sachs, Walmart, Starbucks, Nike, Johnson & Johnson, Procter & Gamble, Salesforce, UBS, Nestlé, IKEA Group, Philips, H&M, Unilever, Microsoft, Adobe, Google, BMW Group and Swiss Re. Source - <http://there100.org/companies>

On 10/1/15, the 10 big food and beverage companies, Unilever, General Mills, Kellogg, Mars, Nestlé USA, New Belgium Brewing, Ben & Jerry's, Clif Bar, Stonyfield Farm and Dannon USA, placed full page ads [1] in the Financial Times and the Washington Post with their commitments to speed up their own company's reduction in greenhouse gas emissions and called on world leaders to do the same by securing a sound worldwide agreement at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris.

On 10/1/15, the above 10 big food and beverage companies also sent a joint letter [2] to world leaders with their commitments to speed up their own company's reduction in greenhouse gas emissions and called on world leaders to do the same by forging a robust worldwide agreement at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris.

Sources -

[1] <http://www.ceres.org/images/food-statement-infograph>

[2] <http://www.ceres.org/press/press-releases/global-food-companies-unite-on-climate-action>

On 11/24/15, the chief executive officers of Coca-Cola, The Hain Celestial Group Inc., Hershey's and PepsiCo [1] joined with the above ten of their peers to again send a joint letter [2] from now 14 big food and beverage companies to world leaders with their commitments to speed up their own company's reduction in greenhouse gas emissions and called on world leaders to do the same by forging a robust worldwide agreement at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris.

Sources -

[1]

<http://www.ceres.org/press/press-releases/more-global-food-companies-unite-on-climate-action>

[2]

<http://www.ceres.org/files/updated-global-food-and-beverage-leadership-statement-on-climate-change/>

As the above 14 big food and beverage companies are calling for stronger government policies to speed up reducing greenhouse gas emissions, they are supporters.

As the American Sustainable Business Council (ASBC) advocates for sustainable, clean energy, supports the EPA's Clean Power Plan and wants a 'meaningful goal' and 'further action' for stronger government policies to further reduce greenhouse gas emissions at the 2015 UN Paris Climate Change Conference, the over 200,000 businesses and more

than 325,000 entrepreneurs, owners, executives, investors and business professionals of the ASBC are supporters. Source - <http://asbcouncil.org/blog/progress-international-climate-negotiations>

In the US, the American Sustainable Business Council (ASBC) represents over 200,000 businesses and more than 325,000 entrepreneurs, owners, executives, investors and business professionals. ASBC advocates for a sustainable, clean energy and clean transportation economy.

Sources -

[1] <http://asbcouncil.org/about-us>

[2]

[http://asbcouncil.org/sites/default/files/20150728\\_asbc\\_brochure\\_draft\\_00000003.pdf](http://asbcouncil.org/sites/default/files/20150728_asbc_brochure_draft_00000003.pdf)

[3] <http://asbcouncil.org/issues/energy-environment>

The ASBC supports the Obama Administration "in setting a meaningful goal that will help guide and inspire further action" at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris. Source - <http://asbcouncil.org/blog/progress-international-climate-negotiations>

In addition, the ASBC supports the US EPA Clean Power Plan. In a 7/13/15 letter to all US Governors, the ASBC said: 'The Clean Power Plan has the ability to boost state economies and create jobs through new investments in critical clean energy infrastructure and energy efficiency technologies, while saving money for consumers and businesses. The funds saved through energy efficiency can be used for spending on local goods and services while helping to boost businesses' bottom lines.'

Sources -

[1]

[http://cleanenergyworksforus.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Letter-to-National-Governors-Association\\_final.pdf](http://cleanenergyworksforus.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Letter-to-National-Governors-Association_final.pdf)

[2]

<http://cleanenergyworksforus.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/E2-BusinessesGreen-clip.pdf>

As Green America urged its supporters to urge President Obama to negotiate at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris for a stronger 'agreement limiting climate emissions with strict targets for reducing carbon emissions in the US to 50% below 1990 levels by 2020 to limit global warming to 2°C,' the Green Business Network of over 3,000 US mid-level and small businesses are supporters. Source accessed on 11/1/15 -

[http://action.greenamerica.org/p/dia/action3/common/public/?action\\_KEY=15330](http://action.greenamerica.org/p/dia/action3/common/public/?action_KEY=15330)

In the US, the Green Business Network, a program of Green America, consists of more than 3,000 mid-level and small businesses and more than 200,000 consumers and investors, who are committed to growing the clean energy and clean transportation economy. Source - <http://www.greenbusinessnetwork.org/about/#gbn>

Also, a continually-growing coalition of more than 400 worldwide institutional investors, who manage more than \$24 trillion in assets, who have all signed the 'Global Investor Statement on Climate Change,' and who are committed to investing, every year, many hundreds of billions of dollars in many more clean energy and clean vehicle projects, are supporters.

Sources -

[1] <http://investorsonclimatechange.org/>

[2]

<http://investorsonclimatechange.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/22NovGlobalInvesorStatementClimateChange.pdf>

In addition, 435 institutional and 2,035 individual investors across 43 countries holding \$2.6 trillion in assets, who are all committed 'to divest from companies that produce coal, oil and natural gas,' are supporters.

Sources -

[1]

<http://www.takepart.com/article/2015/09/22/leonardo-dicaprio-joins-26-trillion-fossil-fuels-divestment-movement>

[2]

<http://www.arabellaadvisors.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/Measuring-the-Growth-of-the-Divestment->



Movement.pdf

[3] <http://gofossilfree.org/commitments/>

In addition, as of 9/28/15, the six top banks in the US, Bank of America, Citigroup, Goldman Sachs, JPMorgan Chase, Morgan Stanley and Wells Fargo, are supporters. Source - <https://www.ceres.org/news-center/press-releases/major-us-banks-call-leadership-addressing-climate-change>

These six top Wall Street banks are targeting to provide the financing, over the next 15 years, for an estimated \$90 trillion that will need to be invested, worldwide, in low carbon, urban infrastructure, clean energy and clean transportation projects. They will speed up their financing of clean energy and transportation projects if there is a strong global climate agreement and/or strong government policies to speed up the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. Source - <http://archive.ceres.org/files/bank-statement-on-climate-policy>

In their 9/28/15 statement, the six top banks in the US, called for a 'strong global climate agreement,' stronger government policies to speed up the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and stronger government policies that 'will provide greater market certainty, accelerate investment, drive innovation in low carbon energy, and create jobs.'

Also, the states of California, New York, Washington, Oregon, Minnesota, Vermont and New Hampshire and all of the signers of the 5/19/15 'Under 2 MOU' agreement, are supporters.

To date, the signers or endorsers of the 5/19/15 'Under 2 MOU' agreement consist of 57 jurisdictions representing 19 countries and five continents. They collectively represent more than \$17.5 trillion in GDP and 572 million people. If the signers and endorsers represented a single country, it would be the largest economy in the world, surpassing the United States. Source - [http://under2mou.org/?page\\_id=228](http://under2mou.org/?page_id=228)

The 5/19/15 'Under 2 MOU' agreement, a shorthand for 'the Under 2 degrees Celsius Memorandum of Understanding', is a non-binding agreement among subnational jurisdictions around the world to limit the increase in global average temperature to below 2 degrees Celsius the warming point at which scientists say that there will likely be catastrophic climate disruptions. Source - [http://under2mou.org/?page\\_id=835](http://under2mou.org/?page_id=835)

Signers of the 5/19/15 'Under 2 MOU' agreement commit to reducing their greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.

Subnational jurisdictions are states, provinces, regions, cities, towns, districts, municipalities and other such subnational, administrative divisions.

As of 6/11/15, Hawaii passed a law that 100% of its electricity will come from renewables by 2045, with 30% by 2020, 40% by 2030 and 70% by 2040 as interim targets. As Hawaii has committed to extremely reduce its greenhouse gas emissions, it is a supporter. Source -

<http://ecowatch.com/2015/06/11/hawaii-renewable-energy-standard/>

As of 12/2/15, more than 107 US cities, including NY City, Los Angeles, Chicago, Houston, Philadelphia, and Phoenix, have signed the 'Compact of Mayors' agreement to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions. As the 'Compact of Mayors' group calls for national government policies to speed up the reductions of greenhouse gas emissions and has committed to reduce their own greenhouse gas emissions, they are supporters.  
Source- <http://www.compactofmayors.org/>

More than 6.5 million companies, who are represented by 25 worldwide business networks from more than 130 countries, and are all members of the International Chamber of Commerce [1], are supporters.

On 5/21/15, 25 worldwide business networks representing more than 6.5 million companies from more than 130 countries, who are all members of the International Chamber of Commerce [1], committed to lead the global pivot to a low-carbon, climate resilient economy.

On 5/21/15, all of these more than 6.5 million companies called on world leaders to come together at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris and sign an 'ambitious' climate agreement 'which works with business to speed emissions reductions and build climate resilience.'  
[2][3] As they have called for government policies to speed up the reductions of greenhouse gas emissions, they are supporters.

Sources -

[1] <http://cop21.iccwbo.org/>

[2]

<http://www.iccwbo.org/News/Articles/2015/Global-business-sends-clear-message-to-policymakers-for-net-zero-deal-at-COP21/>

[3] [http://cop21.iccwbo.org/pdf/2015-COP21-press-release\\_03.pdf](http://cop21.iccwbo.org/pdf/2015-COP21-press-release_03.pdf)

The below listed members and supporters of each of the following more than 70 organizations (more than 43.15 million total supporters) are supporters of pivoting, as fast as possible, to using 100%, clean solar, wind or geothermal power and/or 100%, all-electric vehicles:

MoveOn 8 million  
National Wildlife Federation 4 million  
Credo Action 3.5 million  
Sierra Club 2.4 million  
Avaaz.org US 2.17 million  
National Audubon Society 2 million  
Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) 2 million  
The Daily Kos 1.8 million  
League of Conservation Voters 1.3 million  
Left Action 1.23 million  
World Wildlife Fund (WWF) 1.19 million  
MomsRising.org 1 million  
Earthjustice 1 million  
Environment America 1 million  
Defenders of Wildlife 1 million  
Clean Water Action 1 million  
Environmental Defense Fund 1 million

Ocean Conservancy 900,000  
 Center for Biological Diversity 900,000  
 Oceana 600,000  
 The Moms Clean Air Force - 600,000  
 The Wilderness Society 500,000  
 350.org 420,000  
 Public Citizen 300,000  
 The Other 98% - 300,000  
 Presente.org 300,000  
 Greenpeace USA 250,000  
 American Lung Association 230,000  
 Friends of the Earth US 218,000  
 Union of Concerned Scientists 200,000  
 Green America 200,000  
 National Nurses United 184,000  
 ClimateTruth.org (was Forecast the Facts) 170,000  
 Oil Change International 150,000  
 Food & Water Watch 133,000  
 Environmental Action 124,000  
 NukeFree.org 120,000  
 Asthma and Allergy Foundation of America 95,000  
 Progressive Democrats of America 90,000  
 SumOfUs.org US 74,700  
 Earthworks 64,000  
 Interfaith Power and Light 58,000  
 Physicians for Social Responsibility 50,000  
 Southern Alliance for Clean Energy 50,000  
 Energy Action Coalition 41,000  
 Climate Parents 38,000  
 American Public Health Association 32,000  
 Waterkeeper Alliance 24,000  
 South Florida Wildlands Association 17,400  
 The Pew Charitable Trusts 16,500  
 American Thoracic Society 15,000  
 National Association of County and City Health Officials 13,000  
 Pacific Environment 12,900  
 Alaska Wilderness League 10,000  
 Nuclear Information and Resource Service (NIRS) 9,130  
 Beyond Nuclear 8,990  
 Groovy Green Livin' 8,220  
 Chesapeake Climate Action Network (CCAN) 5,140  
 ClimateMama 4,520  
 Mothers Out Front - 3,560  
 iMatter Youth - Kids vs Global Warming 2,150  
 San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace - 2,145  
 Mothers for Sustainable Energy (The Mothers Project) 1,935  
 Florida Consumer Action Network 1,810  
 Northern Alaska Environmental Center 1,582  
 Health Care Without Harm 1,000  
 North Carolina Waste Awareness and Reduction Network (NC WARN) 1,000  
 Mothers & Others for Clean Air - 640  
 Nuclear Watch South 615  
 Communitopia - 310  
 Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility in San Luis Obispo 170  
 Trust for America's Health - hundreds  
 American Academy of Pediatrics

American Medical Association  
American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation  
American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine  
American College of Preventive Medicine  
American Heart Association  
National Association for Medical Direction of Respiratory Care  
National Association of Local Boards of Health  
Many more supporting organizations

Religious organization supporters of pivoting, as fast as possible, to using 100%, clean solar, wind or geothermal power and/or 100%, all-electric vehicles are -

In addition, more than 1.4 billion (estimated) supporting, religious followers, including Muslims, Catholics, Church of England followers, Hindu followers, Buddhist followers, Jewish people, other Christians, other Interfaith followers and other religious followers, who have all called on world leaders to come together at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris and sign a meaningful climate agreement to extremely reduce global greenhouse gas emissions, are supporters.

Pope Francis has called on the world's 1.2 billion Catholics, Islamic leaders have called on the world's 1.6 billion Muslims, the Church of England's General Synod has called on his followers, Hindu leaders have called on the world's Hindus, Buddhist leaders have called on their followers, Jewish leaders have called on the world's Jewish people, other Christian leaders have called on their followers and other Interfaith leaders have called on their followers to advocate for the signing of a very meaningful climate agreement to extremely reduce global greenhouse gas emissions at the 2015 UN Climate Change conference in Paris. Source - <http://newsroom.unfccc.int/unfccc-newsroom/islamic-declaration-on-climate-change/>

In a 6/16/15 Pew survey, more than 50% of Catholics are supportive of Pope Francis' call for very strong action to extremely reduce global greenhouse gas emissions. Source - <http://www.pewforum.org/2015/06/16/catholics-divided-over-global-warming/>

Similarly, it is estimated that more than 50% of the world's Muslims and the other religious group followers are supportive of their leaders' calls for very strong action to extremely reduce global greenhouse gas emissions.

Some of the additional technology company supporters of pivoting, as fast as possible, to using 100%, clean solar, wind or geothermal power and/or 100%, all-electric vehicles are -

Apple, Google and Facebook are among the many technology companies who have all set goals to be powered by 100 percent clean, renewable energy (not hydroelectric dam or nuclear power). Many of these technology companies have very large cash assets.

Sources -

[1]

<http://www.greenbiz.com/article/can-silicon-valley-sell-big-business-climate-action>

[2]

<http://www.greenbiz.com/article/road-paris-corporate-crash-course-climate-and-cop21>

Amazon Web Services, Equinix, Rackspace and Box have also set goals to be powered by 100 percent clean, renewable energy (not hydroelectric dam or nuclear power). Microsoft has committed to be carbon neutral and IBM has committed to be at 20% clean, renewable energy (not hydroelectric dam or nuclear power) by 2020.

Sources -

[1] <http://www.greenpeace.org/usa/global-warming/click-clean/#report>

[2] <http://ecowatch.com/2015/06/11/amazon-solar-farm/>

Microsoft, Apple and Google are among the many companies that have created partnerships to install new wind and solar farms. Source - <http://ecowatch.com/2015/06/11/amazon-solar-farm/2/>

As of 9/10/14, Tesla is powering its battery gigafactory near Reno, Nevada, in the US, with 100% solar, wind and geothermal power. Source -

<http://cleantechnica.com/2014/09/10/tesla-gigafactory-produce-20-electricity-needs/>

On 9/25/15, Siemens, the German engineering company, announced that 'it aims to be the world's first major industrial company to achieve a net-zero carbon footprint by 2030, following a reduction in CO2 emissions by 50% by as early as 2020.' Siemens plans on investing around 100 million euros (\$111.5 million) over the next three years to reduce the carbon emissions in all of its production facilities and buildings. Source - <https://cleantechnica.com/2015/09/25/siemens-cut-co2-50-2020-net-zero-2030/>

As Apple, Google, Facebook, Amazon Web Services, Equinix, Rackspace and Box have all committed 'to be powered by 100% clean, renewable energy (not hydroelectric dam or nuclear power)' and Microsoft, IBM, Siemens and Tesla have all made commitments to meaningfully reduce carbon emissions, they want to see world leaders come together at the 2015 UN Climate Change conference in Paris and sign a meaningful climate agreement to reduce global greenhouse gas emissions.

As Apple, Google, Facebook, Amazon Web Services, Equinix, Rackspace and Box have all committed 'to be powered by 100% clean, renewable energy (not hydroelectric dam or nuclear power)' and Microsoft, IBM, Siemens and Tesla have all made commitments to meaningfully reduce greenhouse gas emissions, they are supporters.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Brexel Sr.

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** NRDC  
**Sent:** Mon 5/15/2017 12:32:21 PM  
**Subject:** I oppose arbitrary efforts to roll back common-sense environmental protections

May 15, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear President Trump and Administrator Pruitt: Administrator Pruitt,

Subject Line: Docket No. EPA-HQ-OA-2017-0190; Don't lose hundreds of thousands, or millions, of jobs

We are writing to lend our full support to existing EPA regulations and to counter any attempt to misframe these important safeguards as a 'burden.'

All regulations at the Environmental Protection Agency are critical, science-based rules that we need and should not be opened-up for debate or elimination, in order to weaken regulations. All regulations at the EPA must only be strengthened.

Please do everything in your power to make sure that all EPA regulations stay intact. In addition, we urge you to make sure that any attempt to roll back, or differently weaken, any given EPA regulation receives its own public input and comment process.

We, along with an overwhelming majority of voting-age Americans, support common-sense regulations and safeguards that protect our environment, our climate, the public's health and our nation's clean energy progress.

We are opposed to any attempts to roll back any environmental safeguards that will leave Americans more vulnerable to the threats from polluters.

We are calling on the EPA to preserve all environmental regulations and rules, as they stand, and all agency programs that protect the air that Americans breathe, the environment that we live in, our water, our land and the climate that we share, from any elimination, gutting, rollback or other weakening.

More than 225 million, voting-age Americans (i.e. 90%, or 9 out of 10, in a comprehensive, 12-year Harvard survey, as of 1/1/15) are probable supporters of all of the requests and suggestions in this letter. These Americans want more solar and wind power installed, rather than more fossil fuel or nuclear power, because solar and wind power provide less pollution and better health. Source - <http://www.forbes.com/sites/jeffmcmahon/2015/01/01/americans-want-america-to-run-on-solar-and-wind/>

I, along with the probable 225 million, voting-age American supporters, including more than 43.15 million supporters of the below listed, more than 70 organizations, or even more supporters, object to your administration's wholesale effort to arbitrarily find regulations that

can be 'repealed, replaced, or modified.'

I, along with the probable 225 million, voting-age American supporters, including more than 43.15 million supporters of the below listed, more than 70 organizations, or even more supporters, are supporting all of the requests and suggestions in this letter.

If you eliminate, gut, rollback or differently weaken any of the existing regulations, rules or other safeguards, then the US will lose hundreds of thousands, or millions, of jobs and President Trump's approval ratings will go down by a very large amount.

If Georgia and the U.S. fail to compete in just the clean energy market, by itself, we will very likely lose many hundreds of thousands, or millions, of jobs to the fastest, growth-leading countries in clean energy, such as China, Germany, India and other countries.

President Trump would, definitely, do an extreme amount of serious, long-lasting damage to his own reputation, influence and ultimate success, if he eliminates, guts, rolls back or differently weakens any of the existing regulations, rules or other safeguards.

If you eliminate, gut, rollback or differently weaken, any of the existing regulations, rules or other safeguards, it would be extremely reckless, harmful, immoral, shameful and entirely, unnecessary behavior.

If you eliminate, gut, rollback or differently weaken, all of the existing regulations, rules or other safeguards, tens of thousands, or thousands of more Americans will die, every year.

If you eliminate, gut, rollback or differently weaken, any of the existing air pollution regulations, rules or other safeguards, tens of thousands, or thousands of more Americans will die, every year.

And, every one of us will be a lot sicker because of the more polluted air. Every one of us will be a lot sicker with lung diseases, heart diseases, cancer, nervous system diseases, hormone system diseases or other diseases that are caused or made worse by polluted air.

Currently, with the existing air pollution regulations, 88,000 to 238,000 Americans die, every year, because of our current levels of air pollution.

If you eliminate, gut, rollback or differently weaken, any of the existing greenhouse gas exhaust or other climate change regulations, rules or other safeguards, thousands of more Americans will die, every year.

Currently, with the existing greenhouse gas exhaust or other climate change regulations, more than about 17,000 Americans die, every year, because of our current levels of greenhouse gas exhaust.

EPA regulations do not, will not and have not cut down on job growth. Many studies have shown that clean air and water standards create jobs, spur innovation and save lives. Source -

<https://thinkprogress.org/trumps-war-on-epa-regulations-will-kill-jobs-and-a-lot-of-people-784727d5f81d>

71% of Americans believe that 'This country should do whatever it takes to protect the environment.' And 59% believe that 'strongly.' Source -

<https://thinkprogress.org/pew-71-of-americans-say-this-country-should-do-whatever-it-takes-to-protect-the-environment-36f12d1c6b17>

The Environmental Protection Agency's core mission is to protect the environment and safeguard public health. To carry out this mission, the EPA must base its rulemaking on a rigorous scientific process that evaluates public health impacts and medical evidence to inform agency action.

The primary purpose of environmental regulation is to protect the public from physical and economic harms to our health. We count on the EPA to do just that.

We rely on the EPA to ensure that the air that we breathe, the water that we drink and the places where we live are free from pollution. We count on the EPA to put in place the strongest possible safeguards to protect our health and the health of our communities.

We rely on the EPA to put the health of regular Americans first - before the anti-health interests of well-connected donors and before polluters looking to cut corners just to boost their bottom lines.

We are calling on the EPA to do its job and protect the rules and regulations that protect our health and our environment.

It is, without any question, not true that environmental safeguards harm our nation and our economy. To the contrary, they increase jobs, increase our economy, protect our health, conserve resources and save lives - benefits that far exceed the cost of compliance, year after year. For example, the Clean Air Act alone has saved millions of lives and more than \$30 trillion in avoided healthcare costs over the course of its implementation.

As you know, environmental regulations play a major role in driving innovation and helping America be a leader in clean energy, green chemistry, environmental technology/consulting and pollution-reduction tools. This innovation creates jobs and revenue. In addition, many of the regulations create net benefits in dollars, human health and ecosystem services that far outweigh the costs to polluters.

In reality, environmental protections save lives, improve health, conserve resources and spur innovation, all while allowing for economic growth and providing far more in benefits than they cost.

Environmental safeguards broadly benefit our health, safety and communities and are critical in protecting the water that we all drink, the air that we all breathe and the food that we all eat.

The Clean Water Act and Safe Drinking Water Act protect drinking water for hundreds of millions of Americans.



The Clean Water Act is estimated to provide an economic benefit of \$11 billion per year. Clean water is good for our economy and vital for our communities, especially for those living near the water shores.

The Clean Power Plan is our most important tool for avoiding a climate change catastrophe and is projected to provide billions more in economic benefits than it will cost to implement.

The following rules, among many others, have a clear impact in our communities and must not be weakened or rescinded:

**Toxic Wastewater Protections** - Coal-fired power plants are the largest source of toxic water pollution in the United States, dumping billions of pounds of pollution into America's rivers, streams, and lakes each year. These pollutants, including lead and mercury can be dangerous to humans and wreak havoc in our watersheds even in very small amounts. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency finalized a rule that will reduce the discharge of toxic pollutants into America's waterways from steam electric power plants by 1.4 billion pounds annually, as well as reduce water withdrawal by 57 billion gallons per year. This results in an estimated benefit of \$463 million per year to Americans across the country and cleaner, safer water.

**Clean Water Protections**- For over a decade, conflicting Supreme Court decisions have caused confusion over which waterways are covered by protections of the Clean Water Act--causing millions of stream miles and acres of wetlands to be at risk of pollution and destruction. This potentially jeopardizes safe drinking water supplies. However, the Obama Administration put in place safeguards to restore the Clean Water Act, protecting streams and wetlands from pollution throughout the country. Furthermore, these standards help to protect the drinking water for 1 out of 3 Americans, preserve fish and wildlife habitat and reduce the risk of flooding.

**More Clean Water Protections** - We strongly oppose any regulatory rollbacks that:

Put our sources of drinking water at risk --such as rolling back the Clean Water Rule, which provides protection for 1 in 3 American's source of drinking water or weakening the safeguards that limit toxic water pollution from power plants;

Reduce the public's right to know about water pollution threats or drinking water quality;

Weaken or remove any drinking water standards, regulations or rules; or

Weaken or remove any drinking water standards, regulations or rules that protect our drinking water from contaminants such as lead, PFCs, hexavalent chromium, toxic pesticides or other dangerous chemicals.

**Clean Air Protections** - The EPA must ensure that air quality standards reflect the current body of scientific and medical research. These data clearly indicate that smog pollution limits should be set at 70 ppb (or lower), that we must aggressively cut carbon pollution, and limit air toxics like mercury and acid gases that power plants emit.

**Visibility Protections** - The EPA's regional haze rule works to reduce

the visible and toxic haze that is present in national parks and wilderness areas for much of the year by reducing ozone, nitrogen oxides, sulphur dioxide, carbon dioxide, mercury and other toxic gas particulates. The rule not only protects public health; it also ensures that our national parks and special places have clearer air that is free from haze and smog. This improves the stunning vistas that draw the public to these cherished places.

**Safeguarding Communities During Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction -** The EPA must ensure that that states have plans in strong place to address unrestricted emission of toxic pollution during startup, shutdown, and malfunction periods at emitting facilities and limit the serious health effects in neighboring or downwind communities.

**Clean Power Plan -** Following judicial review, the EPA must ensure the implementation of the Clean Power Plan, which regulates carbon dioxide from existing power plants (one of the largest sources of carbon pollution in the United States), through clear, consistent and achievable standards for states. The rule is expected to reduce carbon emissions 32 percent below 2005 levels by 2030, as well as conventional pollutants that cause dangerous smog and soot. This, in turn, will prevent thousands of premature deaths, asthma attacks, heart attacks and hospital visits.

The Clean Power Plan will help to reduce air pollution and will maintain an affordable, reliable energy system, while protecting our health and environment, now and for future generations. The Clean Power Plan is projected to prevent nearly 3,000 premature deaths and almost 100,000 asthma attacks per year by 2030.

The Clean Power Plan's clean energy incentive program will drive clean energy investment in low-income communities, creating jobs and saving consumers' money, where it is most needed.

**Toxic Chemicals Protections -** Please strengthen, and do not weaken, toxic chemical regulations to protect public health and the environment. Toxic chemicals found in plastic bottles, flame retardants, metal food cans, detergents, cosmetics and pesticides cost the U.S. more than \$340 billion a year in health costs and lost earnings.

**Toxic Pesticide Protections -** Please strengthen, and do not weaken, toxic pesticide regulations to protect public health and the environment. Organophosphates pesticides are associated with 1.8 million lost IQ points and 7,500 cases of intellectual disability in the U.S. each year, at an estimated cost of \$44.7 billion. The economic and social impacts of pesticide exposure are devastating.

**Toxic Herbicide Protections -** Please strengthen, and do not weaken, toxic herbicide regulations to protect public health and the environment.

We object to Executive Order 13777, issued by President Donald Trump.

Executive Order 13777 is problematic for several reasons. But most importantly, it violates the separation of powers and requires agencies

to violate federal laws.

It does so by ordering the EPA to eliminate regulations. These orders to eliminate regulations are contrary to the very laws that require the regulations to be put into effect. These orders prioritize corporate costs, when many environmental laws, such as the Clean Air Act, the Federal Water Pollution Control Act and the Toxic Substances Control Act, have a deliberate focus, instead, on the benefits to public health and the environment.

The Environmental Protection Agency should not be using its resources to identify ways to abandon its mission of protecting the environment and public health.

Rolling back regulations is a direct attack on the EPA's ability to protect people and the environment, and it will have significant negative impacts on people's lives. In addition, tens of thousands, or thousands of more Americans will die, every year.

Attempts to put profits over public health and our environment are an affront to human decency and the law -- and we cannot let that happen. That is why we urge you to, please, do everything in your power to make sure that all EPA regulations stay intact. In addition, we urge you to make sure that any attempt to roll back, or differently weaken, any given EPA regulation receives its own public input and comment process.

Please ensure that no EPA regulation, standard, guideline or rule is rolled back or weakened. We urge you, please, to only strengthen existing EPA protections, not roll them back. We need these protections to maintain healthy communities, businesses and ecosystems.

Please stop this senseless campaign to turn back the clock on 50 years of environmental gains. The fate of future generations depends on it.

Please, we urge you to scrap this misguided plan to roll back vital protections that every single American relies on to live.

Thank you for seriously considering our requests and suggestions.

Supporting Subject - Get fastest jobs increase, highest approvals by increasing solar, wind, battery storage, all-electric vehicles

If President Trump and Administrator Pruitt want the fastest and largest jobs increases and the highest approval ratings, then, immediately increasing the installation and use of solar and wind power, battery storage and all-electric vehicles are the fastest and cheapest ways to get it done.

If President Trump and Administrator Pruitt want, as fast as possible, many more tens of thousands, or millions, of jobs and want to be extremely more popular, then we will get more than 3 times the number of permanent jobs from solar and wind power than we would get from natural gas, coal, oil or nuclear power - and, we will get them at a rate that, as of 1/27/17, is 12 times faster than that of the rest of the U.S. economy. We repeat - a rate that is 12 times faster.

If President Trump and Administrator Pruitt want a booming economy as fast as possible, then increasing solar and wind power, battery storage and all-electric vehicles are the fastest and cheapest ways to get it done.

World-record prices to purchase solar or onshore wind power from a brand new, non-subsidized power plant, are far cheaper than purchasing power from a brand new, natural gas, coal or nuclear power plant. We repeat - solar or onshore wind power prices are far cheaper.

World-record prices to purchase solar or onshore wind power from a brand new, non-subsidized power plant, are far cheaper than purchasing power from any other source, period. We repeat - from any other source, period.

World-record prices to purchase solar or onshore wind power from a brand new, non-subsidized power plant, are less than one-half the price of purchasing power from a brand new, coal power plant. We repeat - solar or wind power is less than one-half the price of coal power.

When all lifetime costs, including the costs for public health and environmental damages and decommissioning, are then added to the prices, the prices for solar or onshore wind power are then far, far cheaper than purchasing power from a brand new, natural gas, coal or nuclear power plant.

A 1/27/17 study found that solar and wind jobs have grown at rates of about 20% annually in recent years and are each creating jobs at a rate that is 12 times faster than that of the rest of the U.S. economy. Source - <http://edfclimatecorps.org/nowhiringreport#1>

In many other studies, solar, wind and geothermal power have been proven to provide more than 3 times the number of permanent jobs than natural gas, coal, oil or nuclear power.

The same 1/27/17 study found that all-electric and plug-in hybrid vehicles in 2016 employed 48% more workers than in 2015. The study found that continued explosive, double-digit job growth in this sector is expected because of the relentless, explosive and exponential growth in the use of all-electric and plug-in hybrid vehicles.

In a 2/13/17 letter, nine Republican governors urged President Trump to support the development of solar and wind power because it is critical, among other things, to increasing jobs and economic growth, especially in rural areas. The letter was signed by Kansas Republican Gov. Sam Brownback, on behalf of the nine Republican governors. Source - <http://www.governorswindenergycoalition.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/GWSC-Letter-to-President-Trump-2-13-17.pdf>

In 2017, the nine Republican governors in the Governors' Wind & Solar Energy Coalition are from the states of Arkansas, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, North Dakota and South Dakota.

On 2/13/17, nine Republican governors sent a letter to every Governor in the US to highlight the explosive growth of solar and wind power that has been accomplished by both Republican and Democratic Governors. The letter was signed by Kansas Republican Gov. Sam Brownback, on behalf of the nine Republican governors. Source - <http://www.governorswindenergycoalition.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/GWSC-Governors-RPS-Letter-merged-2-13-17.pdf>

In the 2/13/17 letter to every Governor, the Governors' Wind & Solar Energy Coalition hoped that President Trump and Congress 'will recognize the vital role that renewable energy now plays in our states' economies -- creating jobs and strengthening national security.'

Both of the above 2/13/17 letters were from the Governors' Wind & Solar Energy Coalition. Both of the 2/13/17 letters were also signed by Rhode Island Democratic Gov. Gina Raimondo, on behalf of the 12 Democratic governors in the coalition.

Investors are constantly increasing the many hundreds of billions of dollars of their money that they have been putting, every year, into the solar or wind power, battery storage or all-electric vehicle sectors and they are constantly increasing, every year, the many hundreds of billions of dollars that they are withdrawing from the dying and outdated oil, natural gas, coal or nuclear power sectors.

In addition, in 2015, 23 of the top 30 states (or about 77%) with the most installed, utility solar and wind power had a Republican Governor and/or Republican majorities in their state legislature. These twenty-three, 2015 Republican-led states included #1 Texas, #3 Iowa, #4 Oklahoma, #7 Kansas, #11 Michigan, #13 North Dakota, #14 Pennsylvania, #15 Nevada, #16 Indiana, #17 Florida, #18 Georgia, #19 Maine, #20 North Carolina, #21 Arizona and #22 Virginia.

In 2015, 6 of the top 10 states (or 60%) with the most installed utility, residential and commercial solar power had a Republican Governor and/or Republican majorities in their state legislature. The six Republican-led states were #2 Arizona, #3 North Carolina, # 4 New Jersey, #5 Nevada, #6 Massachusetts and #10 Texas.

We strongly urge President Trump and Administrator Pruitt to please not allow Georgia, or any state, to continue to fall way behind the many other Republican-led states in the explosive and booming use of solar and wind power, battery storage and all-electric and plug-in hybrid vehicles.

Additional supporters and customers, who have advocated or are required to, as fast as possible, pivot to using 100%, clean solar, wind or geothermal power and 100%, all-electric vehicles, include more than 200,000 US big and small companies, more than 214 Fortune 500 companies, more than 435 worldwide, institutional investors, more than 2,035 individual large investors, more than 7 states, more than 107 cities, more than 140 million citizens and more than 70 organizations. These numbers of supporters and customers are only constantly growing.

In addition, since their 9/24/15 statement to all government leaders, or before, the six top banks in the US, Bank of America, Citigroup,

Goldman Sachs, JPMorgan Chase, Morgan Stanley and Wells Fargo, have essentially, advocated for, and are supporters of pivoting to using 100%, clean solar, wind or geothermal power and 100%, all-electric vehicles. Source - <https://www.ceres.org/news-center/press-releases/major-us-banks-call-leadership-addressing-climate-change>

In their 9/24/15 statement, these six top Wall Street banks are targeting to provide the financing, over the next 15 years, for an estimated \$90 trillion that will need to be invested, worldwide, in low carbon, urban infrastructure, clean energy and clean transportation projects. Source - <http://archive.ceres.org/files/bank-statement-on-climate-policy>

The six top Wall Street banks said that they had already been 'committing significant resources toward financing climate solutions.'

In their 9/24/15 statement, these six top Wall Street banks, essentially urged all government leaders to put in place, stronger government policies, that will speed-up the pivot to using clean solar or wind power and all-electric or plug-in hybrid vehicles.

Sounding very similar to what the above 9 Republican governors said, the banks essentially said that these stronger government policies (that will speed-up the pivot to using clean solar or wind power and all-electric or plug-in hybrid vehicles) will 'provide greater market certainty, accelerate investment, drive innovation in low carbon energy, and create jobs.'

In their 9/24/15 statement, these six top Wall Street banks, essentially said that every time that government leaders speed-up their policies to pivot to using clean solar or wind power or all-electric or plug-in hybrid vehicles, they will, in turn, speed-up their financing of these kinds of projects.

Several hundreds of thousands of companies are also, constantly, making more money in the booming solar or wind power, battery storage or all-electric vehicle sectors, rather than the dying and outdated oil, natural gas, coal or nuclear power or oil or gas pipeline sectors.

Bailing-out and/or propping-up the dying and outdated oil, natural gas, coal or nuclear power or oil or gas pipeline sectors is extremely worse than piddling into the wind. It is worse than an extremely huge waste of time and money and it is an extremely huge, dying business venture.

According to Goldman Sachs analysts, the use of coal has been in a terminal decline since 2013. We repeat - the use of coal has been permanently dying out since 2013. Many other analysts have made similar findings. Source - <https://thinkprogress.org/we-might-have-finally-seen-peak-coal-5a3e7b15cdfc>

According to Bloomberg New Energy Finance analysts, the use of oil, for transportation, could begin its terminal decline as early as 2023, or by 2026, and no later than 2028. Several other analysts have made similar findings. We repeat - the use of oil, for transportation, could be permanently dying out as early as 2023, or even sooner. Source -

<https://www.bloomberg.com/features/2016-ev-oil-crisis/>

A 6/13/16 forecast by Bloomberg New Energy Finance, says that the use of natural gas, for electric power, will be in a terminal decline as early as 2025, or even sooner, and that 'There will be No Golden Age of Gas.' We repeat - the use of natural gas, for electric power, will be permanently dying out as early as 2025, or even sooner. Other analysts have made similar findings. Source - <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2016-06-13/we-ve-almost-reached-peak-fossil-fuels-for-electricity>

For many long years, solar, wind and geothermal power have been proven to be cheaper and faster to install and continue to operate and maintain than natural gas, coal, oil, biomass or nuclear power.

For many long years, the prices for solar and wind power have been explosively, exponentially and relentlessly decreasing. These decreases in prices will continue for many long decades.

For several years, solar and wind power have been providing mainstream power in many states and countries - solar and wind power are no longer 'alternative energy' and have not been referred to as 'alternative energy' sources for several years.

In addition, the utility-scale and residential-scale battery power storage sectors for solar and wind power are also booming they are in long-term, explosive, exponential and relentless growth, right now.

The prices for utility-scale and residential-scale battery power storage for solar and wind power are explosively, exponentially and relentlessly decreasing, right now.

In the US, in Europe and elsewhere, the power grid has been proven to be more reliable when using only solar and wind power (especially when it is used in conjunction with proven, already in use, utility-scale, battery storage) than with more expensive and much slower to bring online, from a cold startup, natural gas, coal or nuclear power plants.

For many long years, every possible objection to continuing to proceed with the immediate pivot to the use of 100% solar and wind power, battery storage and all-electric vehicles has been thoroughly debunked and proven to be false by the evidence in countless, published articles by Dr. Joseph Romm, Ph.D. Physics, and many others. Source - <https://thinkprogress.org/@jromm>

Very soon, it is very likely that every politician in the US and the entire world, including the national Republican party in the US, will be supporting the immediate pivot to the use of 100% solar and wind power, battery storage and all-electric vehicles. This will happen because of the overwhelming business reasons and market trends and the overwhelming numbers of business and other customers and supporters. There is absolutely no question that, very soon, this will happen.

The global, clean energy market is projected to total over \$60 trillion in the next 25 years. If we fail to compete, companies and workers in

the fastest, growth-leading countries in clean energy, such as China, Germany, India and other countries, will dominate the market for clean energy resources and technology.

More and more companies, cities, states, retail and other customers are ordering only clean power and clean vehicles - they will not or cannot (by their own policies) buy coal, natural gas or nuclear power or vehicles that are not all-electric or plug-in hybrid. These numbers of buyers of clean power and clean vehicles are only explosively, exponentially and relentlessly increasing, right now.

If Georgia and the U.S. fail to compete in the clean energy market, we will very likely lose many hundreds of thousands, or millions, of jobs to the fastest, growth-leading countries in clean energy, such as China, Germany, India and other countries.

In addition, a continually-growing coalition of more than 408 worldwide, institutional investors, who manage more than \$24 trillion in assets, and who have all signed the 'Global Investor Statement on Climate Change,' are continuing to remain firmly committed to investing, every year, many tens, or hundreds, of billions of dollars in only, clean energy and vehicle projects.

Sources -

[1] <http://investorsonclimatechange.org/>

[2]

<http://investorsonclimatechange.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/22NovGlobalInvesorStatementClimateChange.pdf>

The above coalition of more than 408 very large, institutional investors include BlackRock, Allianz Global Investors, AXA Group, Deutsche Bank Asset Management, the California Public Employees Retirement System and other pension funds from Sweden to Australia.

If Georgia and the U.S. fail to compete in the clean energy market, tens or hundreds of billions of investment dollars, in only clean energy and vehicles, from the above very large, institutional and other investors will most likely go to companies, workers, states, cities and others in other clean energy-leading countries, such as China, Germany, India and other countries.

If President Trump and Administrator Pruitt want the fastest and largest jobs increases and the highest approval ratings, then increasing solar and wind power, battery storage and all-electric vehicles are the fastest and cheapest ways to get it done.

Please do everything that you can to, immediately, pivot and, immediately, increase in Georgia and in every state, the massive installation and use of 100% solar and wind power, battery storage and all-electric vehicles.

Thank you for seriously considering our requests and suggestions.

--

List of supporters and customers -



All of the following are supporters of pivoting, as fast as possible, to using 100%, clean solar, wind or geothermal power and/or 100%, all-electric vehicles.

All of the following have advocated, or are required to pivot, as fast as possible, to using 100%, clean solar, wind or geothermal power and/or 100%, all-electric vehicles:

- More than 200,000 US big and small companies including GE, AT&T, Verizon and HP
- More than 214 Fortune 500 companies including Walmart, Berkshire Hathaway Energy and Apple
- 14 big food and beverage companies including Nestle USA, PepsiCo, Unilever, Coca-Cola and Mars
- More than 435 worldwide, institutional investors and 2,035 individual large investors
- The six top Wall Street banks, including JPMorgan Chase, Bank of America and Citigroup
- The states of California, New York, Washington, Oregon, Minnesota, Vermont, New Hampshire and Hawaii
- NY City, Los Angeles, Chicago, Houston, Philadelphia, Phoenix, more than 101 other US cities and other municipalities
- More than a probable 225 million Americans who want more solar and wind power installed, rather than more fossil fuel and nuclear power, because solar and wind power provide less pollution and better health
- Over 140 million people living in areas with unsafe ozone levels
- More than 33 million people affected by chronic lung disease
- Over 19 million adults living with asthma
- More than 7 million children affected by asthma
- More than 43.15 million supporters of more than 70 organizations
- More than 1.4 billion religious followers
- More than 6.5 million worldwide companies, with over \$7.3 trillion in total annual revenues

Most of the following supporters supported the aim to keep the global average temperature below an increase of 1.5 degrees Celsius and advocated for an extremely strong agreement at the 2015 Paris COP21 UN Climate Meeting:

As of 7/1/14, more than 214 Fortune 500 companies had set targets to either reduce greenhouse gas emissions, improve energy efficiency or use renewable energy.

1. More than 200,000 US big and small companies, including GE, AT&T, HP, Microsoft and P&G (the Ceres 'Climate Declaration' pledge group, the 7/31/15 support letter of 365 businesses for the CPP, the 'We Mean Business' group, the 'American Business Act on Climate' group, the 'Corporate Renewable Energy Buyers' Principles' group, the RE100 group, the American Sustainable Business Council (ASBC), the Green America 'Green Business Network' and more)

2. Hundreds of Fortune 500 companies, including Walmart, Berkshire Hathaway Energy and Apple (215 Fortune 500 companies in the 6/19/14 'Power Forward 2.0' report, the Ceres 'Climate Declaration' pledge group, the 7/31/15 support letter of 365 businesses for the CPP, the 'We Mean Business' group, the 'American Business Act on Climate' group, the 'Corporate Renewable Energy Buyers' Principles' group, the RE100 group and more)

3. 14 big food and beverage companies, including Nestle USA, PepsiCo, Unilever, Coca-Cola and Mars (See the 11/24/15 letter of 14 global food companies to world leaders calling for a strong global agreement and for government policies to speed up the reduction in greenhouse gas emissions)

4. More than 435 worldwide, institutional investors and 2,035 individual investors, who, over the next 15 years, are targeting to provide the financing for more than \$90 trillion of clean energy and transportation projects (the 'Global Investor Statement on Climate Change' coalition, the 'We Mean Business' group, the 350.org 'Fossil Free' Pledge group, the 'Divest-Invest Philanthropy' network, the 'Divest-Invest' network, the six top Wall St. and US banks 9/28/15 '\$90 trillion of financing over the next 15 years' statement and more)

5. The six top Wall Street and US banks - JPMorgan Chase, Bank of America, Citigroup, Wells Fargo, Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley. (See the six top Wall St. and US banks 9/28/15 statement to governments, targeting '\$90 trillion of financing over the next 15 years,' for clean energy and transportation projects.)

6. The states of California, New York, Washington, Oregon, Minnesota, Vermont, New Hampshire and Hawaii (signers of the 5/19/15 'Under 2 MOU' agreement and other commitments)

7. NY City, Los Angeles, Chicago, Houston, Philadelphia, Phoenix and more than 101 other US cities and municipalities (signers of the 5/19/15 'Under 2 MOU' agreement, the 'Compact of Mayors' agreement and other commitments)

8. More than a probable 225 million Americans (i.e. 9 out of 10 in a comprehensive, 12-year Harvard survey, as of 1/1/15), who want more solar and wind power installed, rather than more fossil fuel and nuclear power, because solar and wind power provide less pollution and better health. Source -  
<http://www.forbes.com/sites/jeffmcmahon/2015/01/01/americans-want-america-to-run-on-solar-and-wind/>

9. Over 140 million people living in areas with unsafe ozone levels

10. More than 33 million people affected by chronic lung disease

11. Over 19 million adults living with asthma

12. More than 7 million children affected by asthma

13. More than 43.15 million supporters of the more than 70 organizations listed below

14. More than 1.4 billion religious followers (See the 2015 religious leader letters to world leaders calling for a strong global agreement)

and for government policies to speed up the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions.)

15. More than 6.5 million worldwide companies, with over \$7.3 trillion in total annual revenues (See the 5/21/15 International Chamber of Commerce statement calling for government policies to speed up the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and the above 'More than 200,000 US big and small companies' and more)

Companies, investors, entrepreneurs, owners, executives, business professionals, American citizens, states, cities, other municipalities, organizations and other supporters of pivoting, as fast as possible, to using 100%, clean solar, wind or geothermal power and/or 100%, all-electric vehicles are -

More than 1,600 big and small companies, who have signed the Ceres 'Climate Declaration' pledge, including Apple, Intel, eBay, Microsoft, GM and Unilever, and, who are all doing business in the US, are supporters.

Sources -

[1] <http://www.ceres.org/issues/clean-trillion>

[2] <http://www.ceres.org/about-us/who-we-are>

[3] <http://www.ceres.org/declaration>

[4] <http://www.ceres.org/cop21/>

[5]

<http://www.ceres.org/press/press-releases/building-and-real-estate-companies-join-call-for-action-on-climate-change>

As of 11/25/15, more than 1,600 companies, including Apple, Intel, eBay, Microsoft, GM and Unilever, have signed the Ceres 'Climate Declaration' pledge to choose clean energy and energy efficiency and reduce carbon emissions pollution.

Sources -

[1] <http://www.ceres.org/declaration/sign/who-has-signed>

[2]

<http://www.greenbiz.com/article/road-paris-corporate-crash-course-climate-and-cop21>

[3]

<http://ecoaffect.org/2015/11/26/7-types-of-climate-leaders-to-be-grateful-for-this-thanksgiving/>

[4] <http://www.ceres.org/cop21/>

[5]

<http://www.ceres.org/press/press-releases/building-and-real-estate-companies-join-call-for-action-on-climate-change>

As the above more than 1,600 companies and the Ceres coalition of investors, companies and advocates are all committed 'to reduce carbon emissions,' they are supporters.

Sources -

[1] <http://www.ceres.org/issues/clean-trillion>

[2] <http://www.ceres.org/about-us/who-we-are>

On 7/31/15, 365 businesses and investors, including industry giants such as General Mills, Mars Inc., Nestle, Staples, Unilever and VF Corporation, all sent letters to more than two dozen US governors urging them to finalize and implement their state's plans to meet the

EPA's new Clean Power Plan's carbon pollution standards and 'expand clean energy solutions, attract new industries to the state, and create thousands of jobs'. Source - <http://www.ceres.org/issues/climate-change/clean-power-plan/clean-power-plan>

As the US EPA's Clean Power Plan is a plan to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, the above 365 businesses and investors are supporters.

'We Mean Business' is a continually-growing coalition of 160 worldwide investors, with over \$19.8 trillion in assets under management, and 355 worldwide companies, with over \$7.3 trillion in total annual revenues, who are committed to reducing carbon emissions and scaling-up clean, renewable energy (not hydroelectric dam or nuclear power). Source - <http://www.wemeanbusinesscoalition.org/>

As of 9/26/15, the 'We Mean Business' group is calling on world leaders to come together at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris and sign an 'ambitious' climate agreement to reduce global greenhouse gas emissions. Source - <http://www.wemeanbusinesscoalition.org/blog/%E2%80%9C-paris-climate-agreement-will-help-businesses-go-further-and-faster%E2%80%9D>

As the 'We Mean Business' group is committed to reducing carbon emissions and is calling for stronger government policies to further reduce greenhouse gas emissions, they are supporters.

In addition, as of 12/01/15, 154 Fortune 500 and other companies have signed the Obama Administration's 'American Business Act on Climate' agreement. Signers of this agreement have announced significant commitments 'to reduce their emissions, increase low-carbon investments, deploy more clean energy, and take other actions to build more sustainable businesses and tackle climate change.' Source - <https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2015/12/01/white-house-announces-additional-commitments-american-business-act>

Signers of the 'American Business Act on Climate' agreement are, also, calling for a strong agreement at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris 'that takes a strong step forward toward a low-carbon, sustainable future.'

Fortune 500 companies, such as Alcoa, American Express, AT&T, Berkshire Hathaway Energy, Best Buy, Bloomberg, Cargill, Calpine, Coca-Cola, Dell, General Electric, General Mills, Goldman Sachs, Hewlett Packard, UPS, many other Fortune 500 companies and other companies have all signed the 'American Business Act on Climate' agreement. Source - <https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2015/10/19/fact-sheet-white-house-announces-commitments-american-business-act>

As of 12/1/15, the 154 companies of the 'American Business Act on Climate' agreement have operations in all 50 states, employ over 11 million people and have more than \$4.2 trillion in annual revenues.

As the 'American Business Act on Climate' group of companies are committed to reducing carbon emissions and are calling for stronger government policies to further reduce greenhouse gas emissions, they

are supporters.

As of 7/1/14, as 43% of Fortune 500 companies, or 215 in all, had set targets to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, increase their use of energy efficiency or increase their use of renewable energy, they are supporters. Source -

<http://www.computerworld.com/article/2491464/sustainable-it/fortune-100-companies-saved--1-1b-using-renewable-energy.html>

As of 7/1/14, 60% of Fortune 100 companies had set targets to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, increase their use of energy efficiency or increase their use of renewable energy. Source -

<http://www.computerworld.com/article/2491464/sustainable-it/fortune-100-companies-saved--1-1b-using-renewable-energy.html>

According to the 6/19/14 'Power Forward 2.0' report, put together by Ceres, David Gardiner & Associates, Calvert Investments and the World Wildlife Fund (WWF), 53 Fortune 100 companies had collectively saved \$1.1 billion annually and decreased their annual CO2 emissions by approximately 58.3 million metric tons the equivalent of retiring 15 coal-fired power plants. Source -

<http://www.worldwildlife.org/press-releases/new-analysis-america-s-largest-companies-are-jumping-on-clean-energy-bandwagon-and-saving-more-than-1-billion-a-year>

In addition, the following Fortune 500 and other companies, together with more than 200,000 US companies, and more than 6.5 million worldwide companies, and all of the other companies listed and included in the business groups identified in this letter, are supporters.:

- Walmart, Starbucks, Nike, Johnson & Johnson, Procter & Gamble, Salesforce,
- Apple, Microsoft, Intel, eBay, Yahoo, General Motors,
- Google, Facebook, IBM, Amazon, Siemens, Tesla,
- Amazon Web Services, Equinix, Rackspace, Box,
- General Mills, Mars Inc., Nestle, Staples, Unilever, VF Corporation,
- Volvo, IKEA Group, Hilton, Novelis, Sprint,
- UBS, Philips, H&M and Swiss Re.

Also, as of December 2015, the 49 big companies who have signed onto the Corporate Renewable Energy Buyers' Principles project, including General Motors, Volvo, Starbucks, Unilever, IKEA Group, Hilton, Walmart, Novelis, Facebook, Amazon, Sprint, Microsoft, Google, Intel and Yahoo, are supporters. Source -

<http://buyersprinciples.org/about-us/>

The Corporate Renewable Energy Buyers' Principles group makes it super-easy and faster for big companies to sign a big contract to buy the much cheaper, off-site clean, renewable power (not hydroelectric dam or nuclear power) that they want from electric utilities and other very large suppliers. Source -

<http://www.utilitydive.com/news/how-a-green-business-group-is-transforming-the-way-utilities-sell-renewable/404801/>

In addition, 53 major companies, as of 12/06/15, who have joined the RE100 worldwide group and committed to using 100% clean, non-hydro, non-nuclear, renewable power, are supporters. Sources -

[1] <http://there100.org/news/14189468>

[2] <http://there100.org/re100>

These 53 major companies, who have joined the RE100 worldwide project, include Coca-Cola Enterprises, Goldman Sachs, Walmart, Starbucks, Nike, Johnson & Johnson, Procter & Gamble, Salesforce, UBS, Nestlé, IKEA Group, Philips, H&M, Unilever, Microsoft, Adobe, Google, BMW Group and Swiss Re. Source - <http://there100.org/companies>

On 10/1/15, the 10 big food and beverage companies, Unilever, General Mills, Kellogg, Mars, Nestlé USA, New Belgium Brewing, Ben & Jerry's, Clif Bar, Stonyfield Farm and Dannon USA, placed full page ads [1] in the Financial Times and the Washington Post with their commitments to speed up their own company's reduction in greenhouse gas emissions and called on world leaders to do the same by securing a sound worldwide agreement at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris.

On 10/1/15, the above 10 big food and beverage companies also sent a joint letter [2] to world leaders with their commitments to speed up their own company's reduction in greenhouse gas emissions and called on world leaders to do the same by forging a robust worldwide agreement at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris.

Sources -

[1] <http://www.ceres.org/images/food-statement-infograph>

[2]  
<http://www.ceres.org/press/press-releases/global-food-companies-unite-on-climate-action>

On 11/24/15, the chief executive officers of Coca-Cola, The Hain Celestial Group Inc., Hershey's and PepsiCo [1] joined with the above ten of their peers to again send a joint letter [2] from now 14 big food and beverage companies to world leaders with their commitments to speed up their own company's reduction in greenhouse gas emissions and called on world leaders to do the same by forging a robust worldwide agreement at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris.

Sources -

[1]  
<http://www.ceres.org/press/press-releases/more-global-food-companies-unite-on-climate-action>

[2]  
<http://www.ceres.org/files/updated-global-food-and-beverage-leadership-statement-on-climate-change/>

As the above 14 big food and beverage companies are calling for stronger government policies to speed up reducing greenhouse gas emissions, they are supporters.

As the American Sustainable Business Council (ASBC) advocates for sustainable, clean energy, supports the EPA's Clean Power Plan and wants a 'meaningful goal' and 'further action' for stronger government policies to further reduce greenhouse gas emissions at the 2015 UN Paris Climate Change Conference, the over 200,000 businesses and more than 325,000 entrepreneurs, owners, executives, investors and business

professionals of the ASBC are supporters. Source -  
<http://asbcouncil.org/blog/progress-international-climate-negotiations>

In the US, the American Sustainable Business Council (ASBC) represents over 200,000 businesses and more than 325,000 entrepreneurs, owners, executives, investors and business professionals. ASBC advocates for a sustainable, clean energy and clean transportation economy.

Sources -

[1] <http://asbcouncil.org/about-us>

[2]

[http://asbcouncil.org/sites/default/files/20150728\\_asbc\\_brochure\\_draft\\_00000003.pdf](http://asbcouncil.org/sites/default/files/20150728_asbc_brochure_draft_00000003.pdf)

[3] <http://asbcouncil.org/issues/energy-environment>

The ASBC supports the Obama Administration "in setting a meaningful goal that will help guide and inspire further action" at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris. Source -  
<http://asbcouncil.org/blog/progress-international-climate-negotiations>

In addition, the ASBC supports the US EPA Clean Power Plan. In a 7/13/15 letter to all US Governors, the ASBC said: 'The Clean Power Plan has the ability to boost state economies and create jobs through new investments in critical clean energy infrastructure and energy efficiency technologies, while saving money for consumers and businesses. The funds saved through energy efficiency can be used for spending on local goods and services while helping to boost businesses' bottom lines.'

Sources -

[1]

[http://cleanenergyworksforus.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Letter-to-National-Governors-Association\\_final.pdf](http://cleanenergyworksforus.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Letter-to-National-Governors-Association_final.pdf)

[2]

<http://cleanenergyworksforus.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/E2-BusinessesGreen-clip.pdf>

As Green America urged its supporters to urge President Obama to negotiate at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris for a stronger 'agreement limiting climate emissions with strict targets for reducing carbon emissions in the US to 50% below 1990 levels by 2020 to limit global warming to 2°C,' the Green Business Network of over 3,000 US mid-level and small businesses are supporters. Source accessed on 11/1/15 -

[http://action.greenamerica.org/p/dia/action3/common/public/?action\\_KEY=15330](http://action.greenamerica.org/p/dia/action3/common/public/?action_KEY=15330)

In the US, the Green Business Network, a program of Green America, consists of more than 3,000 mid-level and small businesses and more

than 200,000 consumers and investors, who are committed to growing the clean energy and clean transportation economy. Source -  
<http://www.greenbusinessnetwork.org/about/#gbn>

Also, a continually-growing coalition of more than 400 worldwide institutional investors, who manage more than \$24 trillion in assets, who have all signed the 'Global Investor Statement on Climate Change,' and who are committed to investing, every year, many hundreds of billions of dollars in many more clean energy and clean vehicle

projects, are supporters.

Sources -

[1] <http://investorsonclimatechange.org/>

[2]

<http://investorsonclimatechange.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/22NovGlobalInvesorStatementClimateChange.pdf>

In addition, 435 institutional and 2,035 individual investors across 43 countries holding \$2.6 trillion in assets, who are all committed 'to divest from companies that produce coal, oil and natural gas,' are supporters.

Sources -

[1]

<http://www.takepart.com/article/2015/09/22/leonardo-dicaprio-joins-26-trillion-fossil-fuels-divestment-movement>

[2]

<http://www.arabellaadvisors.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/Measuring-the-Growth-of-the-Divestment-Movement.pdf>

[3] <http://gofossilfree.org/commitments/>

In addition, as of 9/28/15, the six top banks in the US, Bank of America, Citigroup, Goldman Sachs, JPMorgan Chase, Morgan Stanley and Wells Fargo, are supporters. Source -

<https://www.ceres.org/news-center/press-releases/major-us-banks-call-leadership-addressing-climate-change>

These six top Wall Street banks are targeting to provide the financing, over the next 15 years, for an estimated \$90 trillion that will need to be invested, worldwide, in low carbon, urban infrastructure, clean energy and clean transportation projects. They will speed up their financing of clean energy and transportation projects if there is a strong global climate agreement and/or strong government policies to speed up the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. Source - <http://archive.ceres.org/files/bank-statement-on-climate-policy>

In their 9/28/15 statement, the six top banks in the US, called for a 'strong global climate agreement,' stronger government policies to speed up the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and stronger government policies that 'will provide greater market certainty, accelerate investment, drive innovation in low carbon energy, and create jobs.'

Also, the states of California, New York, Washington, Oregon, Minnesota, Vermont and New Hampshire and all of the signers of the 5/19/15 'Under 2 MOU' agreement, are supporters.

To date, the signers or endorsers of the 5/19/15 'Under 2 MOU' agreement consist of 57 jurisdictions representing 19 countries and five continents. They collectively represent more than \$17.5 trillion in GDP and 572 million people. If the signers and endorsers represented a single country, it would be the largest economy in the world, surpassing the United States. Source - [http://under2mou.org/?page\\_id=228](http://under2mou.org/?page_id=228)



The 5/19/15 'Under 2 MOU' agreement, a shorthand for 'the Under 2 degrees Celsius Memorandum of Understanding', is a non-binding agreement among subnational jurisdictions around the world to limit the increase in global average temperature to below 2 degrees Celsius the warming point at which scientists say that there will likely be catastrophic climate disruptions. Source - [http://under2mou.org/?page\\_id=835](http://under2mou.org/?page_id=835)

Signers of the 5/19/15 'Under 2 MOU' agreement commit to reducing their greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.

Subnational jurisdictions are states, provinces, regions, cities, towns, districts, municipalities and other such subnational, administrative divisions.

As of 6/11/15, Hawaii passed a law that 100% of its electricity will come from renewables by 2045, with 30% by 2020, 40% by 2030 and 70% by 2040 as interim targets. As Hawaii has committed to extremely reduce its greenhouse gas emissions, it is a supporter. Source - <http://ecowatch.com/2015/06/11/hawaii-renewable-energy-standard/>

As of 12/2/15, more than 107 US cities, including NY City, Los Angeles, Chicago, Houston, Philadelphia, and Phoenix, have signed the 'Compact of Mayors' agreement to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions. As the 'Compact of Mayors' group calls for national government policies to speed up the reductions of greenhouse gas emissions and has committed to reduce their own greenhouse gas emissions, they are supporters. Source- <http://www.compactofmayors.org/>

More than 6.5 million companies, who are represented by 25 worldwide business networks from more than 130 countries, and are all members of the International Chamber of Commerce [1], are supporters.

On 5/21/15, 25 worldwide business networks representing more than 6.5 million companies from more than 130 countries, who are all members of the International Chamber of Commerce [1], committed to lead the global pivot to a low-carbon, climate resilient economy.

On 5/21/15, all of these more than 6.5 million companies called on world leaders to come together at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris and sign an 'ambitious' climate agreement 'which works with business to speed emissions reductions and build climate resilience.' [2][3] As they have called for government policies to speed up the reductions of greenhouse gas emissions, they are supporters.

Sources -

[1] <http://cop21.iccwbo.org/>

[2]

<http://www.iccwbo.org/News/Articles/2015/Global-business-sends-clear-message-to-policymakers-for-net-zero-deal-at-COP21/>

[3] [http://cop21.iccwbo.org/pdf/2015-COP21-press-release\\_03.pdf](http://cop21.iccwbo.org/pdf/2015-COP21-press-release_03.pdf)

The below listed members and supporters of each of the following more than 70 organizations (more than 43.15 million total supporters) are supporters of pivoting, as fast as possible, to using 100%, clean

solar, wind or geothermal power and/or 100%, all-electric vehicles:

MoveOn 8 million  
National Wildlife Federation 4 million  
Credo Action 3.5 million  
Sierra Club 2.4 million  
Avaaz.org US 2.17 million  
National Audubon Society 2 million  
Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) 2 million  
The Daily Kos 1.8 million  
League of Conservation Voters 1.3 million  
Left Action 1.23 million  
World Wildlife Fund (WWF) 1.19 million  
MomsRising.org 1 million  
Earthjustice 1 million  
Environment America 1 million  
Defenders of Wildlife 1 million  
Clean Water Action 1 million  
Environmental Defense Fund 1 million  
Ocean Conservancy 900,000  
Center for Biological Diversity 900,000  
Oceana 600,000  
The Moms Clean Air Force - 600,000  
The Wilderness Society 500,000  
350.org 420,000  
Public Citizen 300,000  
The Other 98% - 300,000  
Presente.org 300,000  
Greenpeace USA 250,000  
American Lung Association 230,000  
Friends of the Earth US 218,000  
Union of Concerned Scientists 200,000  
Green America 200,000  
National Nurses United 184,000  
ClimateTruth.org (was Forecast the Facts) 170,000  
Oil Change International 150,000  
Food & Water Watch 133,000  
Environmental Action 124,000  
NukeFree.org 120,000  
Asthma and Allergy Foundation of America 95,000  
Progressive Democrats of America 90,000  
SumOfUs.org US 74,700  
Earthworks 64,000  
Interfaith Power and Light 58,000  
Physicians for Social Responsibility 50,000  
Southern Alliance for Clean Energy 50,000  
Energy Action Coalition 41,000  
Climate Parents 38,000  
American Public Health Association 32,000  
Waterkeeper Alliance 24,000  
South Florida Wildlands Association 17,400  
The Pew Charitable Trusts 16,500  
American Thoracic Society 15,000  
National Association of County and City Health Officials 13,000  
Pacific Environment 12,900  
Alaska Wilderness League 10,000

Nuclear Information and Resource Service (NIRS) 9,130  
 Beyond Nuclear 8,990  
 Groovy Green Livin' 8,220  
 Chesapeake Climate Action Network (CCAN) 5,140  
 ClimateMama 4,520  
 Mothers Out Front - 3,560  
 iMatter Youth - Kids vs Global Warming 2,150  
 San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace - 2,145  
 Mothers for Sustainable Energy (The Mothers Project) 1,935  
 Florida Consumer Action Network 1,810  
 Northern Alaska Environmental Center 1,582  
 Health Care Without Harm 1,000  
 North Carolina Waste Awareness and Reduction Network (NC WARN) 1,000  
 Mothers & Others for Clean Air - 640  
 Nuclear Watch South 615  
 Communitopia - 310  
 Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility in San Luis Obispo 170  
 Trust for America's Health - hundreds  
 American Academy of Pediatrics  
 American Medical Association  
 American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation  
 American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine  
 American College of Preventive Medicine  
 American Heart Association  
 National Association for Medical Direction of Respiratory Care  
 National Association of Local Boards of Health  
 Many more supporting organizations

Religious organization supporters of pivoting, as fast as possible, to using 100%, clean solar, wind or geothermal power and/or 100%, all-electric vehicles are -

In addition, more than 1.4 billion (estimated) supporting, religious followers, including Muslims, Catholics, Church of England followers, Hindu followers, Buddhist followers, Jewish people, other Christians, other Interfaith followers and other religious followers, who have all called on world leaders to come together at the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris and sign a meaningful climate agreement to extremely reduce global greenhouse gas emissions, are supporters.

Pope Francis has called on the world's 1.2 billion Catholics, Islamic leaders have called on the world's 1.6 billion Muslims, the Church of England's General Synod has called on his followers, Hindu leaders have called on the world's Hindus, Buddhist leaders have called on their followers, Jewish leaders have called on the world's Jewish people, other Christian leaders have called on their followers and other Interfaith leaders have called on their followers to advocate for the signing of a very meaningful climate agreement to extremely reduce global greenhouse gas emissions at the 2015 UN Climate Change conference in Paris. Source - <http://newsroom.unfccc.int/unfccc-newsroom/islamic-declaration-on-climate-change/>

In a 6/16/15 Pew survey, more than 50% of Catholics are supportive of Pope Francis' call for very strong action to extremely reduce global greenhouse gas emissions. Source - <http://www.pewforum.org/2015/06/16/catholics-divided-over-global-warming/>

Similarly, it is estimated that more than 50% of the world's Muslims and the other religious group followers are supportive of their leaders' calls for very strong action to extremely reduce global greenhouse gas emissions.

Some of the additional technology company supporters of pivoting, as fast as possible, to using 100%, clean solar, wind or geothermal power and/or 100%, all-electric vehicles are -

Apple, Google and Facebook are among the many technology companies who have all set goals to be powered by 100 percent clean, renewable energy (not hydroelectric dam or nuclear power). Many of these technology companies have very large cash assets.

Sources -

[1]

<http://www.greenbiz.com/article/can-silicon-valley-sell-big-business-climate-action>

[2]

<http://www.greenbiz.com/article/road-paris-corporate-crash-course-climate-and-cop21>

Amazon Web Services, Equinix, Rackspace and Box have also set goals to be powered by 100 percent clean, renewable energy (not hydroelectric dam or nuclear power). Microsoft has committed to be carbon neutral and IBM has committed to be at 20% clean, renewable energy (not hydroelectric dam or nuclear power) by 2020.

Sources -

[1] <http://www.greenpeace.org/usa/global-warming/click-clean/#report>

[2] <http://ecowatch.com/2015/06/11/amazon-solar-farm/>

Microsoft, Apple and Google are among the many companies that have created partnerships to install new wind and solar farms. Source - <http://ecowatch.com/2015/06/11/amazon-solar-farm/2/>

As of 9/10/14, Tesla is powering its battery gigafactory near Reno, Nevada, in the US, with 100% solar, wind and geothermal power. Source -

<http://cleantechnica.com/2014/09/10/tesla-gigafactory-produce-20-electricity-needs/>

On 9/25/15, Siemens, the German engineering company, announced that 'it aims to be the world's first major industrial company to achieve a net-zero carbon footprint by 2030, following a reduction in CO2 emissions by 50% by as early as 2020.' Siemens plans on investing around 100 million euros (\$111.5 million) over the next three years to reduce the carbon emissions in all of its production facilities and buildings. Source - <https://cleantechnica.com/2015/09/25/siemens-cut-co2-50-2020-net-zero-2030/>

As Apple, Google, Facebook, Amazon Web Services, Equinix, Rackspace and Box have all committed 'to be powered by 100% clean, renewable energy (not hydroelectric dam or nuclear power)' and Microsoft, IBM, Siemens and Tesla have all made commitments to meaningfully reduce carbon emissions, they want to see world leaders come together at the 2015 UN Climate Change conference in Paris and sign a meaningful climate agreement to reduce global greenhouse gas emissions.

As Apple, Google, Facebook, Amazon Web Services, Equinix, Rackspace and Box have all committed 'to be powered by 100% clean, renewable energy (not hydroelectric dam or nuclear power)' and Microsoft, IBM, Siemens and Tesla have all made commitments to meaningfully reduce greenhouse gas emissions, they are supporters.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Brexel Sr.

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**To:** Pruitt, Scott[Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov]  
**From:** NRDC  
**Sent:** Mon 4/24/2017 5:05:47 AM  
**Subject:** I oppose arbitrary efforts to roll back common-sense environmental protections

Apr 24, 2017

Administrator E. Scott Pruitt

Dear President Trump and Administrator Pruitt: Administrator Pruitt,

The key question in EO 13777 with regards to the EPA is how one defines a regulation to be an "unnecessary burden" on the American people. Regulations that protect the water we drink, the air we breathe and the food we eat are necessary for our health and well-being. Further, regulations are needed to reduce the amount of carbon released into the atmosphere to protect our nation from the devastating effects of climate change. If regulations are a "burden" on corporations that would prefer to operate without regard to the health and safety of Americans, they must innovate and find ways to meet those regulations within their business models. Yes, we are a country that is founded on the principles of free enterprise, but the function of government is to ensure basic protections for its citizens that a private economy will overlook, such as ensuring clean air, water, safe food and a nation that is not ravaged by climate change (extreme weather and rising sea levels). History has shown that without government regulation the environment will become poisoned by corporations motivated only by profit and unhealthy for the American citizens. Our planet is warming and projections for sea level rise alone show large portions of our coasts - including major coastal cities like New York, Boston, San Francisco, New Orleans and Miami - will be flooded. More than 97% of scientists tell us the scientific evidence is clear: global climate change is caused by human activities.

I assume that the premise of EO 13777 is that regulations create an "unnecessary burden" on the American people because they limit a business's ability to make the maximum profit and thus create more jobs and pay more taxes. This does not take into account the huge costs incurred to public health by lax regulations. The Clean Air Act alone prevents more than 160,000 premature deaths and 1.7 million asthma attacks -- every year -- delivering up to \$90 in public health benefits for every dollar invested. It does not take into account the costs of waste that industrial practices could eliminate through regulation. New rules to cut climate-busting methane leaks from oil and gas facilities, would reduce the nearly \$2 billion worth of natural gas wasted every year. The short sighted elimination policies promoting clean energy deny the real benefits of using American innovation to improve public health and add jobs. The Clean Power Plan is projected to yield up to \$20 billion in climate benefits, plus public health benefits of \$14 - \$34 billion each year, and give a major boost to the clean energy industry that employs 2.5 million Americans, and growing. And what of the human and financial costs of denying the science of global warming? By 2100 sea-level rise could put up to 7.4 million US residents at risk and cut the country's GDP by as much as \$289 billion.

Policy must not fall into the trap of being penny-wise and pound foolish. The short-term seduction of unfettered industry will result in the long term demise of our citizen's health and our nation's prosperity.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Miller

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**